### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 **FORM 10-K** (Mark One) ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) [X] OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. or  $[_1]$ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 Commission File Number: 33-26115 PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) **FLORIDA** 59-2924957 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) incorporation or organization) 200 W. Forsyth St., 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jacksonville, Florida 32202 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) (904) 396-5733 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Title of each class Name of each exchange on which registered Common Stock \$.10 par value **NASDAQ** Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes [ ] No [X] Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes [\_] No [X] Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [\_] Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [X] No [\_]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer [_]	Accelerated filer [X]
Non-accelerated filer [_]	Smaller reporting company [_]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes [\_] No [X]

The number of shares of the registrant's stock outstanding as of November 29, 2013 was 9,564,220. The aggregate market value of the shares of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of March 31, 2013, the last day of business of our most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$155,436,836. Solely for purposes of this calculation, the registrant has assumed that all directors, officers and ten percent (10%) shareholders of the Company are affiliates of the registrant.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders are incorporated by reference in Parts I and II.

Portions of the Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than December 31, 2013 are incorporated by reference in Part III.

#### Preliminary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements.

Certain matters discussed in this report contain forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, capital expenditures, liquidity, capital resources and competition and may be indicated by words or phrases such as "anticipate", "estimate", "plans", "projects", "continuing", "ongoing", "expects", "management believes", "the Company believes", "the Company intends" and similar words or phrases. The following factors and others discussed in the Company's periodic reports and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission are among the principal factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements: freight demand for petroleum products including recessionary and terrorist impacts on travel in the Company's markets; levels of construction activity in the markets served by our mining properties; fuel costs and the Company's ability to recover fuel surcharges; accident severity and frequency; risk insurance markets; driver availability and cost; the impact of future regulations regarding the transportation industry; availability and terms of financing; competition; interest rates, inflation and general economic conditions; demand for flexible warehouse/office facilities in the Baltimore-Washington-Northern Virginia area; and ability to obtain zoning and entitlements necessary for property development. However, this list is not a complete statement of all potential risks or uncertainties.

These forward-looking statements are made as of the date hereof based on management's current expectations, and the Company does not undertake an obligation to update such statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Additional information regarding these and other risk factors may be found in the Company's other filings made from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### Item 1. BUSINESS.

Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc., which was incorporated in Florida in 1988, and its subsidiaries (the "Company") are engaged in the transportation and real estate businesses.

Our transportation business is conducted through Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc. ("Tank Lines") which operates in the Southeastern United States. Tank Lines hauls petroleum and other liquids and dry bulk commodities by tank trailers.

The Company's real estate activities are conducted through two wholly owned subsidiaries: Florida Rock Properties, Inc. ("Properties") and FRP Development Corp. ("Development").

The Company's real estate operations consist of two reportable segments. The mining royalty land segment owns real estate including construction aggregate royalty sites and parcels held for investment. The developed property rentals segment acquires, constructs, and leases office/warehouse buildings primarily in the Baltimore/Northern Virginia/Washington area and holds real estate development or related future to its developments. Substantially all of the real estate operations are conducted within the Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic United States. estate revenues in fiscal 2013 were divided approximately 81% from rentals on developed properties and 19% from mining royalties.

**Transportation.** The transportation segment primarily serves customers in the petroleum industries in the Southeastern U.S.

During fiscal 2013, Tank Lines operated from terminals in Jacksonville, Orlando, Panama City, Pensacola, Port Everglades, Tampa and White Springs, Florida; Albany, Atlanta, Augusta, Bainbridge, Columbus, Macon and Savannah, Georgia; Chattanooga, Knoxville and Nashville, Tennessee; Birmingham and Montgomery, Alabama; Wilmington, North Carolina; and Spartanburg, South Carolina.

Tank Lines has from two to six major tank truck competitors in each of its markets. Price, service, and location are the major factors which affect competition in the transportation segment within a given market.

During fiscal 2013, the transportation segment's ten largest customers accounted for approximately 54.2% of the transportation segment's revenue. One of these customers, Murphy Oil Corporation, accounted for 20.0% of the transportation segment's revenue. The loss of any one of these customers could have a material adverse effect on the Company's revenues and income.

During fiscal 2013, the transportation segment purchased 96 new tractors and 33 trailers. In fiscal 2012 and 2011, the Company purchased 120 tractors and 51 trailers.

Our fiscal 2014 capital budget includes 90 new tractors and 30 new trailers including binding commitments to purchase 40 tractors and 6 trailers at September 30, 2013. We are replacing a larger than average number of tractors purchased prior to 2007 engine changes required by the EPA. We anticipate this more modern fleet will result in reduced maintenance expenses, improved operating efficiencies and enhanced driver recruitment and retention. At September 30, 2013, the Company owned and operated a fleet of 435 trucks and 533 trailers plus 3 additional trucks being prepared for service and 4 additional trucks that were being prepared for sale.

The Company's transportation segment acquired certain assets of Pipeline Transportation, Inc. on November 7, 2013. Pipeline's operations have been conducted in the Florida and Alabama markets also served by Florida Rock and Tank Lines, Inc. For the twelve month period ending June 30, 2013, Pipeline had gross revenues of just over \$16,500,000.

Mining Royalty Land. The mining royalty land segment owns and seeks to acquire land with construction aggregates deposits, a substantial portion of which is leased to Vulcan Materials Company under long-term mining royalty agreements, whereby the Company is paid a percentage of the revenues generated or annual minimums. The segment also owns mining related land held for future appreciation or development.

**Developed Property Rentals.** The developed property rentals segment acquires, constructs, leases and manages land and commercial buildings in the Baltimore/Northern Virginia/Washington and Jacksonville, Florida areas.

A significant part of our strategy has been to develop high quality, flexible warehouse/office space. Average occupancy for the fiscal year for buildings in service more than 12 months was 88.4%. At September 30, 2013, 89.6% of the total warehouse/office portfolio of approximately 3.3 million square feet was occupied.

Price, location, rental space availability, flexibility of design, and property management services are the major factors that affect competition in the flexible warehouse/office rental market. The Company experiences considerable competition in all of its markets.

Tenants of flexible warehouse/office properties are not concentrated in any one particular industry.

Relationship with Vulcan Materials Company. The Company was spun off from Florida Rock Industries, Inc. ("FRI") in 1986. FRI merged with Vulcan Materials Company ("Vulcan") in November 2007. Nearly all of our mining properties are leased to Vulcan under long-term mining leases entered into in the 1980s. We haul diesel fuel and cement for Vulcan. We also are a party to a joint venture agreement with Vulcan to develop approximately 4,300 acres of property located near Brooksville, Florida.

Vulcan accounted for approximately 18.6% of our real estate revenues and 6.1% of our transportation revenues for fiscal 2013. On a consolidated basis, Vulcan accounted for 8.6% of our fiscal 2013 revenues.

Segment Information. The Company operates in three reportable segments: transportation, mining royalty land and developed property rentals. Industry segment information is presented in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements included in the accompanying 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

Environmental Matters. Environmental regulations have increased the costs of our transportation and real estate businesses. Revised EPA regulations decrease the amount of permitted air emissions that can be released by tractor engines and affect tractors produced after the effective date of the regulations. Compliance with these regulations has increased the cost of our new tractors, increased repair expense, and lowered fuel mileage. This will increase our capital expenditures and our operating expenses. The Company has incurred costs to investigate and remediate environmental contamination on its real estate. The Company's mining leases contain a provision making the lessee responsible for reclamation of mining sites at least to the extent required by law.

**Seasonality.** The Company's business is subject to limited seasonality due to the cyclical nature of our customers' businesses, with revenues generally declining slightly during winter months.

**Employees.** The Company employed 860 people in its transportation segment, 15 people in its real estate group and 11 people in its corporate offices at September 30, 2013.

Company Website. The Company's website may be accessed at <a href="www.patriottrans.com">www.patriottrans.com</a>. All of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission can be accessed through our website promptly after filing. This includes annual reports on Form 10-K, proxy statements, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports filed or furnished on Form 8-K and all related amendments.

### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Name	Age	Office	Position Since
John D. Baker II	65	Executive Chairman	Oct. 1, 2010
Thompson S. Baker II	54	President & Chief Executive Officer	Oct. 1, 2010
David H. deVilliers, Jr.	62	Vice President of the Company and President of the Company's Real Estate Group	Feb. 28, 1994

John D. Milton, Jr.	68	Exec. Vice President, Treasurer, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer	Jun.	16,	2008
John D. Klopfenstein	50	Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	Feb.	16,	2005
Robert E. Sandlin	52	Vice President of the Company and President of Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc.	Mar.	1, 2	2003

All of the above officers have been employed in their respective positions for the past five years except as follows: John D. Baker II served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from February 2008 to October 2010 and as President and Chief Executive Officer of Florida Rock Industries, Inc. from 1996 to November 2007; and Thompson S. Baker II served as the President of the Florida Rock Division of Vulcan Materials Company ("Vulcan") from November 2007 to September 2010 and as President of the Aggregates Group of Florida Rock Industries, Inc. from August 1991 to November 2007.

John D. Baker II, who is the brother of Chairman Emeritus Edward L. Baker, and Thompson S. Baker II, who is the son of Edward L. Baker, are directors of the Company.

All executive officers of the Company are elected by the Board of Directors annually and serve until their resignation or removal.

#### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS.

Our future results may be affected by a number of factors over which we have little or no control. The following issues, uncertainties, and risks, among others, should be considered in evaluating our business and outlook. Also, note that additional risks not currently identified or known to us could also negatively impact our business or financial results.

### We face significant uncertainty regarding the continued demand for our transportation services during the current economic climate.

The transportation segment produces approximately 80% of our gross revenues and 46% of our operating profit. The current economic climate could adversely affect the demand for our transportation services. These adverse economic conditions may result in reductions in our revenue, increased price competition and increased operating costs, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operation and financial condition.

We would be adversely affected by a decline in demand for hauling ethanol.

In addition to other products, our tank lines subsidiary hauls ethanol which is blended with other petroleum products. The ethanol industry is highly dependent upon federal and state legislation and changes in such legislation could adversely affect the demand for ethanol products and the resulting demand for our transportation services. In addition, we would be adversely affected by the future construction of pipelines to transport ethanol, which also would reduce demand for our transportation services.

### We would be adversely affected by a decline in gasoline and diesel fuel consumption.

In addition to other products, our tank lines subsidiary hauls gasoline and diesel. Our results would be adversely affected by a decreased demand for gasoline and diesel fuel. The market demand for these products could be affected by increase in oil prices, government regulation, improved vehicle fuel efficiency, consumer preferences toward alternative fueled vehicles and technological advances.

### Our operating results may be adversely impacted by volatility in fuel costs or by fuel shortages.

The crude oil and petroleum products markets are extremely volatile. Fuel prices are affected by a number of economic and political factors, including general political conditions, acts of war or terrorism, political instability in oil producing regions and capacity at United States oil refineries. Our operating results are impacted by our ability to recover fuel surcharges from customers. In light of the volatility of fuel prices, it may be difficult for us to recover fuel surcharges from customers at levels that will allow us to maintain current levels of profitability. In addition, increased fuel prices reduce consumer demand for the petroleum products hauled by our tank lines subsidiary, adversely impacting revenues. Our operations may also be adversely affected by any limit on the availability of fuel.

### Our business may be adversely affected by seasonal factors and harsh weather conditions.

Our business is subject to seasonal trends common in the refined petroleum products delivery industry. We typically face increased demand for fuel delivery services in Florida during the spring months. Our real estate group is adversely affected by reduced construction activity during periods of inclement weather. These factors can cause our operating results to fluctuate from quarter to quarter. An occurrence of unusually harsh or long-lasting inclement weather such as hurricanes, tornadoes and heavy snowfalls could have an adverse effect on our operations and profitability.

### Our revenues depend in part on construction sector activity levels, which tend to be cyclical.

Our real estate group receives part of its revenues from royalties on construction aggregates mined on our properties. Thus, our results depend in part on residential, commercial and infrastructure construction activity and spending levels. The construction industry in our markets tends to be cyclical. Construction activity and spending levels vary across our markets and are influenced by interest rates, inflation, consumer spending habits, demographic shifts, environmental laws and regulations, employment levels and the availability of funds for public infrastructure projects. Economic downturns may lead to recessions in the construction industry, either in individual markets or nationally.

We face difficulty in recruiting and retaining qualified drivers. In some years the transportation industry has had difficulty attracting and retaining qualified drivers (including independent contractors), and competition for drivers sometimes can be intense. To compete for drivers, we may be forced to increase driver compensation. We cannot be certain that we could pass along the increased compensation costs to our customers. If we are unable to continue to attract drivers and contract with independent contractors, we could be required to suffer downtime and lost revenue miles.

### New tractors are more expensive.

New tractors are more expensive, primarily due to higher commodity prices, better pricing power among equipment manufacturers, and government regulations applicable to newly manufactured tractors and diesel engines. Revised EPA regulations decrease the amount of permitted air emissions that can be released by tractor engines and affect tractors produced after the effective date of the regulations. Compliance with these regulations increased the cost of our new tractors, increased repair expense and lowered fuel mileage. This will increase our capital expenses and our operating expenses. These adverse effects combined with the uncertainty as to the reliability of the vehicles equipped with the newly designed diesel engines and the residual values that will be realized from the disposition of these vehicles could increase our costs or otherwise adversely affect our business or operations.

### We have significant ongoing capital requirements.

Our transportation business requires substantial ongoing capital investment, particularly for tractors, trailers, terminals and technology. For the past few years, we have depended on cash from operations and our credit facilities to fund our revenue equipment. We expect to continue to pay for projected capital expenditures with cash flows from operations and borrowings under our line of credit. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash from operations and obtain financing on favorable terms in the future, we may have to limit our growth, enter into less favorable financing arrangements, or operate our revenue equipment for longer periods, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

The loss of one of our major transportation customers could have a materially adverse effect on our business.

A significant portion of our transportation revenue is generated from our major customers. For 2013, our top 10 customers, based on revenue, accounted for approximately 54.2% of our transportation segment's revenue and one customer accounted for 20.0% of the segment's revenue. A reduction in or termination of our services by one or more of our major customers could have a materially adverse effect on our business and operating results.

### The trucking industry is extremely competitive and fragmented.

The trucking industry is extremely competitive and fragmented. No single truckload carrier has a significant market share. We compete with many other truckload carriers of varying sizes, customers' private fleets, and, to a lesser extent, with railroads which may limit our growth opportunities and reduce profitability. Some of our competitors periodically reduce their freight rates to gain business, especially during times of reduced growth rates in the economy, which may limit our ability to maintain or increase freight rates or maintain our profit margins. Many customers reduce the number of carriers they use by selecting so-called "core carriers" as approved transportation service providers, and in some instances we may not be selected. Historically, competition has created downward pressure on the truckload industry's pricing structure.

## Our operations are subject to various environmental laws and regulations, the violation of which could result in substantial fines or penalties.

We are subject to various environmental laws and regulations dealing with the handling of hazardous materials, fuel storage tanks, air emissions from our vehicles and facilities, and engine idling. Our operations involve the risks of fuel spillage or seepage, environmental damage, and hazardous waste disposal, among others. We also maintain bulk fuel storage and fuel islands at several of our facilities. Although we have instituted programs to monitor and control environmental risks and promote compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations, the failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations could subject us to liabilities, including substantial fines or penalties or civil and criminal liability, that could have a materially adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Environmental liability for contamination may include the following, without limitation: investigation and feasibility study costs, remediation costs, litigation costs, oversight costs, monitoring costs, institutional control costs, penalties from state and federal agencies, and third-party claims. These costs could be substantial and in extreme cases could exceed the value of the contaminated property. Moreover, operations on-site may be required to be suspended until certain environmental contamination is remediated and/or permits are received and environmental laws can impose permanent restrictions on the manner in which a property may be used depending on the extent and nature of the contamination. This may result in a default of the terms of the lease entered into

with our tenants. Environmental laws also may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. In addition, the presence of hazardous substances at, on, under or from a property may adversely affect our ability to sell the property or borrow using the property as collateral, thus harming our financial condition.

## The presence of contaminated material at our RiverFront on the Anacostia development site may subject us to substantial environmental liability and costs.

With respect to our joint venture with MRP known as RiverFront on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C., preliminary environmental testing completed in the summer of 2012 on the portion of the site that will contain the first phase of the development indicated the presence of contaminated material that will have to be specially handled in the event of excavation in conjunction with construction. We believe these contaminants are a result of normal operations of our previous tenant over the long-term due to documented releases from an underground storage tank which was located within the first phase along with other activities by the tenant on the property. While we believe that the prior tenant is responsible for these costs and is required to indemnify us against any environmental liabilities arising from its activities on the property, we could nevertheless be subject to strict liability under environmental laws because we own the property. We may face this liability regardless of our lack of knowledge of the contamination or whether or not we caused the contamination. There is also a risk that the prior tenant may not satisfy their environmental compliance and indemnification obligations under the lease. Any of these events could substantially increase our cost of operations.

Notwithstanding the prior tenant's obligations to us under the lease, with respect to MRP, we are solely responsible to appropriately handle the removal of any known hazardous substances on Phase 1 of the property up to a proposed cap of \$1.871 million. MRP has agreed to share such costs above that cap. Accordingly, we recorded an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 of \$1,771,000 for this environmental remediation liability which is the lower end of the range of estimates. The actual expense may be materially higher or lower depending upon the determined responsibility of the prior tenant, our ability to collect from such prior tenant and actual costs incurred. In the event these costs are materially higher than forecasted, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Further testing during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013 revealed the existence of contamination on the other three phases and we are requesting the prior tenant take financial responsibility for removal of this contamination as well. The Company has no obligation to remediate this contamination on Phases II, III and IV until such time as it commences construction there.

### Uninsured losses could significantly reduce our earnings.

We self-insure for a portion of our claims exposure resulting from workers' compensation, auto liability, general liability, cargo and property damage claims, as well as employees' health insurance. also are responsible for our legal expenses relating to such claims. We maintain insurance above the amounts for which we selfinsure with licensed insurance carriers. Although we believe the aggregate insurance limits should be sufficient to cover reasonably expected claims, it is possible that one or more claims could exceed our aggregate coverage limits. Also, there are some types of losses such as from hurricanes, terrorism, wars, or earthquakes where insurance is limited and/or not economically justifiable. an uninsured loss occurs, we could lose both the invested capital and anticipated revenues. We accrue currently for estimated incurred losses and expenses. We periodically evaluate and adjust our claims accrued liability to reflect our experience. However, ultimate results may differ from our estimates, which could result in losses over our accrued amounts.

Rising insurance costs could significantly reduce our earnings. Insurance carriers sometimes raise premiums for many businesses, including trucking companies. As a result, our insurance and claims expense could increase, or we could raise our self-insured retention when our policies are renewed. If we are unable to pass along this cost increase to customers, our earnings may be significantly reduced.

### Compliance with recent or future transportation regulations may significantly reduce earnings.

Our transportation operations are regulated and licensed by various U.S. agencies. While the costs of compliance with existing regulations generally is reflected in our prior results, recent regulations (such as the tractor air emissions regulations) and future laws and regulations may be more stringent and require changes in our operating practices, influence the demand for transportation services, or require us to incur significant additional costs. Higher costs incurred by us could adversely affect our results of operations.

We may be unable to renew leases or relet space as leases expire. When a lease expires, a tenant may elect not to renew it. We may not be able to relet the property on similar terms. The terms of renewal or re-lease (including the cost of required renovations and/or concessions to tenants) may be less favorable than the prior lease. If we are unable to relet all or a substantial portion of our properties, or if the rental rates upon such reletting are significantly lower than expected rates, our cash generated before debt repayments and capital expenditures may be adversely affected. As of September 30, 2013, leases at our properties representing approximately 12%, 17% and 14% of the total square footage of buildings completed prior to September 2013 were scheduled to expire in fiscal year 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively.

#### We may be unable to lease currently vacant space.

Vacant space totals 10% in our business parks and only a small portion of that has leases signed for future occupancy. If we are unable to obtain leases sufficient to cover carrying costs then our cash flows may continue to be adversely affected.

### The bankruptcy or insolvency of significant tenants with long-term leases may adversely affect income produced by our properties.

We have 13 buildings in our business parks that are single-tenant occupied representing 44% of developed property rentals under longterm leases. We have seven other tenants with leases in excess of five years. Should tenants default on their obligations, our cash flow would be adversely affected and we may not be able to find another tenant to occupy the space under similar terms or have to make expenditures to retrofit and/or divide the space. In addition we may have to incur a non-cash expense for a significant amount of deferred rent revenue generated from the accounting requirement to straight-line rental revenues. The bankruptcy or insolvency of a major tenant may also adversely affect the income produced by a property. If any of our tenants becomes a debtor in a case under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, we cannot evict that tenant solely because of its bankruptcy. The bankruptcy court may authorize the tenant to reject and terminate its lease with us. Our claim against such a tenant for unpaid future rent would be subject to a statutory limitation that might be substantially less than the remaining rent actually owed to us under the tenant's lease. shortfall in rent payments could adversely affect our cash flow.

### A decline in the economic conditions in Baltimore-Washington-Northern Virginia area could adversely affect our business.

Nearly all of our office/warehouse properties are located in the Baltimore-Washington-Northern Virginia area. As a result of our geographic concentration, we depend upon the local conditions in these markets, including local real estate conditions. We are, therefore, subject to increased exposure (positive or negative) to economic and other competitive factors specific to markets in confined geographic areas. Our operations may also be affected if too many competing properties are built in these markets. An economic downturn in these markets could adversely affect our operation. We cannot assure you that these markets will continue to grow or will continue to provide favorable demand for our office/warehouse product.

### Our inability to obtain necessary approvals for property development could adversely affect our profitability.

We may be unable to obtain, or incur delays in obtaining, necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations, which could result in increased costs or abandonment of these projects. Before we can develop a property, we must obtain a variety of approvals from local and state governments with respect to such matters as zoning, density, parking, subdivision, site planning and environmental

issues. Legislation could impose moratoriums on new real estate development and/or land-use conversions from mining to development. These factors may reduce our profit or growth and may limit the value of these properties.

Real estate investments are not as liquid as other types of assets. The illiquid nature of real estate investments may limit our ability to react promptly to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, significant expenditures associated with real estate investments, such as mortgage payments, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from the investments. Thus, the illiquid nature of our real estate investments could adversely affect our profitability under certain economic conditions.

### Our debt service obligations may have adverse consequences on our business operations.

We use debt to finance our operations, including acquisitions of properties. Our use of debt may have adverse consequences, including the following:

- Our cash flows from operations may not be sufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest.
- We may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms, to make payments on our debt.
- We may default on our debt obligations, and the lenders may foreclose on our properties that collateralize those loans.
- A foreclosure on one of our properties could create taxable income without any accompanying cash proceeds to pay the tax.
- A default under a mortgage loan that has cross default provisions may cause us to automatically default on another loan.
- We may not be able to refinance or extend our existing debt.
- The terms of any refinancing or extension may not be as favorable as the terms of our existing debt.
- We may not be able to issue debt on unencumbered properties under reasonable terms to finance growth of our portfolio of properties.
- We may be subject to a significant increase in the variable interest rates on our unsecured line of credit or unsecured term loan, which could adversely impact our operations.
- Our debt agreements have yield maintenance requirements that result in a penalty if we prepay loans.

As of September 30, 2013, we had outstanding non-recourse mortgage indebtedness of \$49,904,000, secured by developed real estate

properties having a carrying value of \$58,724,000.

### Our uncollateralized revolving credit agreement restricts our ability to engage in some business activities.

Our uncollateralized revolving credit agreement contains customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

- restricts our ability to incur certain additional indebtedness;
- restricts our ability to make certain investments;
- restricts our ability to merge with another company;
- restricts our ability to pay dividends;
- requires us to maintain financial coverage ratios; and
- requires us to not encumber certain assets except as approved by the lenders.

These restrictions could cause us to default on our unsecured line of credit or negatively affect our operations.

### Our real estate segment faces competition from numerous sources.

As a developer of flexible warehouse/office space, we compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge in order to retain tenants when our tenants' leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and ability to satisfy our debt service obligations could be materially adversely affected.

### Construction costs may be higher than anticipated.

Our long-term business plan includes a number of construction projects. The construction costs of these projects may exceed original estimates and possibly make the completion of a property uneconomical. Building material commodity shortages, construction delays/stoppages and/or rapidly escalating construction costs may out-pace market rents, adversely affecting profits. The market environment and existing lease commitments may not allow us to raise rents to cover these higher costs.

### Certain shareholders have effective control of nearly a majority of our common stock and likely will control the outcome of any shareholder vote.

As of November 10, 2013, three of our directors, Edward L. Baker, John D. Baker II and Thompson S. Baker II, beneficially own approximately 22.6% of the outstanding shares of our common stock and certain of their family members beneficially own an additional 10.2%. As a result, these individuals effectively may have the ability to direct the election of all members of our Board of

Directors and to exercise a controlling influence over our business and affairs, including any determinations with respect to mergers or other business combinations involving us, our acquisition or disposition of assets, our borrowing of monies, our issuance of any additional securities, our repurchase of common stock and our payment of dividends.

### Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may hinder a takeover or negatively affect our stock price.

Our articles of incorporation and bylaws contain several provisions that may make it more difficult and expensive for a third party to acquire control of us without the approval of our board of directors. Our articles of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions dividing our board of directors into four classes of directors serving four-year terms and providing that directors may only be removed for cause. Our articles of incorporation also provide that our shareholders can take action only at a duly called annual or special meeting of shareholders and require a supermajority vote to approve certain matters. In addition, our board of directors is authorized to issue additional shares of common stock or preferred stock and to determine the rights and preferences of any shares of preferred stock to be issued.

### Our cash and cash equivalents at times exceed FDIC insurance limits exposing us to possible losses.

In the current financial climate, the Company is monitoring the financial stability of its lending banks as well as its depository institutions. At present the Company does not foresee the necessity for changing any of these relationships but will continue to monitor conditions particularly with respect to the depository for its liquid funds. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality institutions. At times such amounts may exceed FDIC insurance limits.

### Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

#### Item 2. PROPERTIES.

Transportation Segment Properties. The Company has 21 sites for its trucking terminals in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee. The Company owns 13 of these sites and leases 8.

Mining Royalty Land Segment Construction Aggregates Properties. The following table summarizes the Company's construction aggregates locations and estimated reserves at September 30, 2013 a substantial portion of which are leased to Vulcan.

Tons of
Tons Sold Estimated
in Year Reserves

	Ended 9/30/13 (000's)	at 9/30/13 (000's)	Approximate Acres Owned
The Company owns seven locations currently being mined in Grandin, Keuka, Newberry, Florida; Columbus, Macon, and Tyrone, Georgia;			
and Manassas, Virginia.	4,459	336,855	10,423
The Company owns six locations not currently being mined in Ft. Myers, Airgrove/ Lake County (temporary), Marion County, Astatula/Lake County, Lake Louisa, Florida;			
and Forest Park, Georgia.	25	96,532	4,771

These figures exclude Brooksville, Florida as the property was transferred October 4, 2006 to a joint venture with Vulcan for development. Brooksville tons sold in fiscal 2013 were 349,000 and estimated reserves were 5,400,000 at September 30, 2013.

The Ft. Myers residential property in Lee County, Florida is part of a 1,993 acre site under a long-term mining lease to Vulcan. In June, 2010 the Company entered into a letter agreement with Vulcan Materials Company that required modifications to the existing mining lease on our property, such that the mining will be accelerated and the mining plan will be revised to accommodate future construction of up to 105 residential dwelling units around the mined lakes. In return the Company agreed to grant Lee County a right of way for a road and to place a conservation easement on part of the property.

Mining Royalty Land Segment Brooksville Joint Venture. On October 4, 2006, a subsidiary of the Company (FRP) entered into a Joint Venture Agreement with Vulcan Materials Company (formerly Florida Rock Industries, Inc.) to form Brooksville Quarry, LLC, a real estate joint venture to develop approximately 4,300 acres of land near Brooksville, Florida. In April 2011, the Florida Department of Community Affairs issued its Final Order approving the development of the Project, and zoning for the Project was obtained in August 2012.

Mining Royalty Land Segment Other Properties. The segment owns 1,923 acres of investment properties in Gulf Hammock, Brooksville, Palatka, and Polk County, Florida and Yatesville and Henderson, Georgia.

Developed Properties Rentals Segment. At September 30, 2013 certain developed real estate properties having a carrying value of \$58,724,000 were pledged on long-term non-recourse notes with an outstanding principal balance totaling \$49,904,000. At September 30, 2013, the Company owned 400 acres in 15 developed parcels of

land all but one of which are in the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States as follows:

- 1) Hillside Business Park in Anne Arundel County, Maryland consists of 49 usable acres. Four warehouse/office buildings and one suburban office building totaling 567,473 square feet exist on the property and are 99% occupied.
- 2) Lakeside Business Park in Harford County, Maryland consists of 84 usable acres. Nine warehouse/office buildings totaling 893,722 square feet exist on 64 of these acres and are 91.3% occupied. The remaining 20 acres are available for future development and have the potential to offer an additional 266,530 square feet of comparable product.
- 3) 6920 Tudsbury Road in Baltimore County, Maryland contains 5.3 acres with 86,100 square feet of warehouse/office space that is 100% occupied by a single tenant.
- 4) 8620 Dorsey Run Road in Howard County, Maryland contains 5.8 acres with 85,100 square feet of warehouse/office space that is 100% occupied.
- 5) Rossville Business Center in Baltimore County, Maryland contains approximately 10 acres with 190,517 square feet of warehouse/office space and is 100% occupied.
- 6) 34 Loveton Circle in suburban Baltimore County, Maryland contains 8.5 acres with 33,708 square feet of office space, which is 81% occupied including 24% of the space occupied by the Company.
- 7) Oregon Business Center in Anne Arundel County, Maryland contains approximately 17 acres with 195,615 square feet of warehouse/office space, which is 89% occupied.
- 8) Arundel Business Center in Howard County, Maryland contains approximately 11 acres with 162,796 square feet of warehouse/office space. A new lease commenced October 2013 for 13,645 square feet increasing occupancy to 100%.
- 9) 100-400 Interchange Boulevard in New Castle County, Delaware contains approximately 17 acres with 303,006 square feet of warehouse/office space, which is 49% occupied. Chrysler and General Motors plant closings in 2008 continued to keep a reduced demand for space in this market. The remaining 8.8 acres are available for excess trailer storage or an additional 93,600 square feet of comparable product.
- 10) 1187 Azalea Garden Road in Norfolk, Virginia contains approximately 12 acres with 188,093 square feet of warehouse/office space, which is 100% occupied.
- 11) Windlass Run Business Park in Baltimore County, Maryland contains 69,474 square feet of warehouse/office space on 4.7 acres

that is currently 50% occupied. An additional 26 acres (excluding wetlands) of fully developed land is available with the potential to offer 386,626 square feet of warehouse, office, flex and retail buildings. An agreement to lease 55,729 square feet is scheduled to commence January 2014 and will increase occupancy to 100%.

- 12) 155 E.  $21^{\rm st}$  Street in Duval County, Florida contains approximately 6 acres with 68,757 square feet of office space which is 100% leased to Vulcan.
- 13) Hollander 95 Business Park in Baltimore City, Maryland was purchased in October of 2010 and contains 82,800 square feet of warehouse/office space on 3.8 acres which is 100% leased. An additional 38 acres of partially developed land is available with the potential to offer 425,750 square feet of warehouse, office, hotel and flex buildings.
- 14) Patriot Business Park in Prince William County, Maryland contains 117,600 square feet of office space on 10 acres which is 100% occupied. The Company entered into a build to suit lease in May 2013 for a 125,500 square foot building situated on 8 acres which is currently under construction and scheduled for completion and occupancy during the first quarter of calendar 2014. The remaining 37 acres have the potential to offer an additional 390,000 square feet of comparable product.
- 15) Transit Business Park in Baltimore, Maryland contains five buildings totaling 232,318 square feet on 14.5 acres and is 88% occupied.

Developed Property Rentals Segment Future Planned Developments. At September 30, 2013 the Company owned the following future development parcels:

1) Windlass Run Residential (previously Bird River) phase 2, located in southeastern Baltimore County, Maryland, is a 74 acre tract of land adjacent to our Windlass Run Business Park. property was rezoned in September 2007 to allow for additional density. In July 2008, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the entire 121 acres at a purchase price of \$25,075,000 and closing was scheduled to occur in the first quarter of calendar 2012. The contract purchaser had placed non-refundable deposits of \$1,000,000 under this contract in escrow. In October 2011 the contract purchaser terminated its agreement to purchase the property and released the \$1,000,000 escrow deposit to the company's subsidiary, FRP Bird River, LLC. along with all permits, engineering work, plans and other development work product with regards to the property. The Company continued the entitlement process for this parcel of land for residential development as a planned unit development (PUD) and was successful in receiving approval for up to 412 dwelling units. In September 2012, the Company received a non-binding letter of intent to sell the property for \$18.8 million in two phases. The letter of intent to sell the property was converted into 2 executed contracts that expired during the due diligence period. The Company executed two contracts on April 17, 2013 with another buyer for the sale of phase 1 of the property in the quarter ending September 30, 2013 for \$8.0 million and the balance for \$11.0 million approximately 18 months later. The sale of phase 1 was completed in August of 2013 and resulted in a gain of \$4,928,000.

2) Anacostia River. The Company owns a 5.8 acre parcel of undeveloped real estate in Washington D.C. that fronts the Anacostia River and is adjacent to the Washington Nationals Baseball Park. The parcel was leased to a subsidiary of Vulcan Materials Company from 1986 through August 2011. In September 2011 Vulcan commenced a long term lease for a Company owned 2.1 acre tract which is nearby on the same bank of the Anacostia River. The approved planned unit development for the 5.8 acre parcel permits the Company to develop a four building, mixed use project, containing approximately 545,800 square feet of office and retail space and approximately 569,600 square feet of additional space for residential and hotel uses. The approved development would include numerous publicly accessible open spaces and a waterfront esplanade along the Anacostia River.

In March 30, 2012 the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement with MRP SE Waterfront Residential, LLC. ("MRP") to form a joint venture to develop the first phase only of the four phase master development known as RiverFront on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. The purpose of the Joint Venture is to develop, own, lease and possibly sell an approximately 300,000 square foot residential apartment building (including approximately 18,000 square feet of retail) on a portion of the roughly 5.82 acre site. The joint venture, Riverfront Investment Partners I, LLC ("Riverfront I") was formed in June 2013 as contemplated. The Company's cost of the property to be contributed of \$5,839,000 was transferred from Property, Plant and Equipment to Investment in joint ventures and is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. MRP will contribute capital of \$4,000,000 to the joint venture including development costs paid prior to formation of the joint venture. MRP will raise any additional equity capital and obtain a nonrecourse loan for the balance of the estimated construction and lease up At this point the Company anticipates commencement of construction of Phase I in mid 2014 with lease up scheduled between late 2015 and all of 2016. The Company's equity interest in the joint venture will be determined based on leverage of the entity, additional cash contributions by the Company, and negotiations with potential third partners.

3) Leister property in Hampstead, Carroll County, Maryland is a 117 acre parcel located adjacent to State Route 30 bypass. The parcel is currently zoned for industrial use. Alternative uses are being investigated in order to maximize this assets' profitability and expedite it's disposition.

### Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the accompanying 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders is incorporated herein by reference.

### item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES. None.

### PART II

## Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

There were approximately 497 holders of record of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. common stock, \$.10 par value, as of September 30, 2013. The Company's common stock is traded on the Nasdag Stock Market (Symbol PATR). Information concerning stock prices is included under the caption "Quarterly Results" on page 6 of the Company's 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders, and such information is incorporated herein by reference. The Company has not paid a cash dividend in the past and it is the present policy of the Board of Directors not to pay cash dividends. Information concerning restrictions on the payment of cash dividends is included in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in the accompanying 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders and such information is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding securities authorized for issuance under compensation plans is included in Item 12 of Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

### Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased		rage ce Paid Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
July 1 Through		\$			ė 2 602 000
July 31 August 1	_	Ą	_	_	\$ 3,682,000
Through August 31	_	\$	_	_	\$ 3,682,000
September 1 Through September 30		\$	_		\$ 3,682,000

Total - \$ -

(1) In December, 2003, the Board of Directors authorized management to expend up to \$6,000,000 to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock from time to time as opportunities arise. On February 19, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized management to expend up to an additional \$5,000,000 to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock from time to time as opportunities arise.

#### Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Information required in response to this Item 6 is included under the caption "Five Year Summary" on page 6 of the Company's 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

### Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION.

Information required in response to Item 7 is included under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation" on pages 7 through 13 of the Company's 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

### Item 7.A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The Company is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. For its cash and cash equivalents, a change in interest rates affects the amount of interest income that can be earned. The Company prepared a sensitivity analysis of its cash and cash equivalents to determine the impact of hypothetical changes in interest rates on the Company's results of operations and cash flows. The interest-rate analysis assumed a 50 basis point adverse change in interest rates on all cash and cash equivalents. However, the interest-rate analysis did not consider the effects of the reduced level of economic activity that could exist in such an environment. Based on this analysis, management has concluded that a 50 basis point adverse move in interest rates on the Company's cash and cash equivalents would have an immaterial impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows.

For its debt instruments with variable interest rates, changes in interest rates affect the amount of interest expense incurred. The Company did not have any variable rate debt outstanding at September 30, 2013. The following table provides information about the Company's long-term debt (dollars in thousands):

						There		Fair
Liabilities:	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	after	Total	Value

Scheduled maturities of long-term debt:

Fixed Rate \$4,311 \$4,533 \$4,616 \$4,887 \$4,674 \$26,883 \$49,904 \$53,252 Average

interest rate 6.3% 6.2% 6.2% 6.1% 6.1% 6.0%

#### Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Information required in response to this Item 8 is included under the caption "Quarterly Results" on page 6 and on pages 14 through 26 of the Company's 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders. Such information is incorporated herein by reference.

### Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

#### Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

### CONCLUSION REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and chief accounting officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such terms is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer and our chief accounting officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report.

### MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in the 1992 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the framework in the 1992 Internal Control-Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2013.

Hancock Askew & Co., LLP, the independent registered certified public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has also audited the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, as stated in their report which appears in Item 8.

### CHANGE IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

During the fourth quarter of 2013, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, including any corrective actions with regards to material weaknesses.

### INHERENT LIMITATIONS OVER INTERNAL CONTROLS

Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- i. pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- ii. provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- iii. provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error and circumvention by collusion or overriding of controls. Accordingly, even an effective internal control system may not prevent or detect material misstatements on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

#### ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

#### PART III

#### Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

Information regarding the Company's executive officers is set forth under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant" in Part I of this Form 10-K. Information concerning directors (including the disclosure regarding audit committee financial experts), required in response to this Item 10, is included under the captions "Election of Directors", "Board Leadership Structure and Committee Membership - Audit Committee" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Company's Proxy Statement and such information is incorporated herein by reference. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than December 31, 2013.

The Company has adopted a Financial Code of Ethical Conduct applicable to its principal executive officers, principal financial officers and principal accounting officers. A copy of this Financial Code of Ethical Conduct is filed as Exhibit 14 to this Form 10-K. The Financial Code of Ethical Conduct is available on our web site at <a href="www.patriottrans.com">www.patriottrans.com</a> under the heading Corporate Governance.

#### Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Information required in response to this Item 11 is included under the captions "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Executive Compensation," "Compensation Committee Report," "Non-Employee Director Compensation," "Board Leadership Structure and Committee Membership - Compensation Committee," and "Shareholder Return Performance" in the Company's Proxy Statement and such information is incorporated herein by reference. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than December 31, 2013.

### Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Information required in response to this Item 12 is included under the captions "Common Stock Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners" and "Common Stock Ownership by Directors and Executive Officers" in the Company's Proxy Statement and such information is incorporated herein by reference. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than December 31, 2013.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted Average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of Securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	414,590	\$ 20.40	537,880
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	<u> </u>
Total	414,590	\$ 20.40	537,880

### Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

Information required in response to this Item 13 is included under the caption "Related Party Transactions", "Corporate Governance", and "Board Leadership Structure and Committee Membership" in the Company's Proxy Statement and such information is incorporated herein by reference. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than December 31, 2013.

### Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

Information required in response to this Item 14 is included under the captions "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the Company's Proxy Statement and such information is incorporated herein by reference. The Proxy Statement will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than December 31, 2013.

#### PART IV

#### Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) (1) and (2) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules.

The response to this item is submitted as a separate section. See Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules on page 29 of this Form 10-K.

(3) Exhibits.

The response to this item is submitted as a separate section. See Exhibit Index on pages 26 through 28 of this Form 10-K.

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.

Date: December 4, 2013 By THOMPSON

By THOMPSON S. BAKER II
Thompson S. Baker II
President and Chief Executive
Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

By JOHN D. MILTON, JR.

John D. Milton, Jr.
Executive Vice President, Treasurer,
Secretary and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By JOHN D. KLOPFENSTEIN

John D. Klopfenstein

Controller and Chief Accounting

Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on December 4, 2013.

THOMPSON S. BAKER II
Thompson S. Baker II
President and Chief
Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

JOHN D. MILTON, JR.

John D. Milton, Jr.

Executive Vice President, Treasurer,
Secretary and Chief Financial
Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

JOHN D. KLOPFENSTEIN

John D. Klopfenstein

Controller and Chief Accounting

Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)

H. W. Sha

JOHN D. BAKER II
John D. Baker II
Executive Chairman

JOHN E. ANDERSON
John E. Anderson
Director

EDWARD L. BAKER
Edward L. Baker
Director, Chairman Emeritus

CHARLES E. COMMANDER III
Charles E. Commader III
Director

LUKE E. FICHTHORN III
Luke E. Fichthorn III
Director

ROBERT H. PAUL III
Robert H. Paul III
Director

H. W. SHAD III
H. W. Shad III
Director

MARTIN E. STEIN, JR.
Martin E. Stein, Jr.
Director

JAMES H. WINSTON
James H. Winston
Director

## PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC. FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 EXHIBIT INDEX [Item 14(a)(3)]

	[Item 14(a)(3)]
(3)(a)(1)	Articles of Incorporation of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc., incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit filed with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988. File No. 33-26115.
(3)(a)(2)	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. filed with the Secretary of State of Florida on February 19, 1991 incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit filed with Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1993. File No. 33-26115.
(3)(a)(3)	Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. filed with the Secretary of State of Florida on February 7, 1995, incorporated by reference to an appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement dated December 15, 1994. File No. 33-26115.
(3)(a)(4)	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc., filed with the Florida Secretary of State on May 6, 1999 incorporated by reference to a form of such amendment filed as Exhibit 4 to the Company's Form 8-K dated May 5, 1999. File No. 33-26115.
(3)(a)(5)	Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. filed with the Secretary of State of Florida on February 21, 2000, incorporated by reference to the corresponding exhibit filed with Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000. File No. 33-26115.
(3)(b)(1)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. adopted August 3, 2005, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K dated August 3, 2005. File No. 33-26115.
(4)(a)	Articles III, VII and XII of the Articles of Incorporation of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988. And amended Article III, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1993. And Articles XIII and XIV, incorporated by reference to an appendix filed with the Company's Proxy Statement dated December 15, 1994. File No. 33-26115.
(4)(b)	Specimen stock certificate of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(a)	Various lease backs and mining royalty agreements with Florida Rock Industries, Inc., none of which are presently believed to be material individually, except for the Mining Lease Agreement dated September 1, 1986, between Florida Rock Industries Inc. and Florida Rock Properties, Inc., successor by merger to Grandin Land, Inc. (see Exhibit (10)(c)), but all of which may be material in the aggregate, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988. File No. 33-26115.

(10)(b)

License Agreement, dated June 30, 1986, from Florida Rock Industries, Inc. to Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc. to use "Florida Rock" in corporate names, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988.

File No. 33-26115.

(10)(c)	Mining Lease Agreement, dated September 1, 1986, between Florida Rock Industries, Inc. and Florida Rock Properties, Inc., successor by merger to Grandin Land, Inc., incorporated by reference to an exhibit previously filed with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(d)	Summary of Medical Reimbursement Plan of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc., incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1993. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(e)	Summary of Management Incentive Compensation Plans, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1994. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(f)	Management Security Agreements between the Company and certain officers, incorporated by reference to a form of agreement previously filed (as Exhibit (10)(I)) with Form S-4 dated December 13, 1988. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(g)(1)	Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to an appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement dated December 15, 1999. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(g)(2)	Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to an appendix to the Company's Proxy Statement dated December 29, 2005. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(h)	Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated September 30, 2008 among Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. as Borrower, the Lenders from time to time party hereto and Wachovia Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 7, 2008. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(i)	The Company and its consolidated subsidiaries have other long-term debt agreements, none of which exceed 10% of the total consolidated assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, and the Company agrees to furnish copies of such agreements and constituent documents to the Commission upon request.
(10)(k)	Joint Venture Agreement between Florida Rock Industries, Inc. and Florida Rock Properties, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(1)	Letter Agreement between the Company and David H. deVilliers, Jr., incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2007. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(m)	Letter Agreement between the Company and Robert E. Sandlin, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2007. File No. 33-26115.
(10)(n)	Letter Agreement between the Company and John D. Klopfenstein, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2007. File No. 33-26115.

(10)(s) Limited Liability Company Agreement of Riverfront Investment

No. 33-26115.

Partners I LLC. Between FRP Riverfront I LLC and MRP SE Waterfront Residential LLC. incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. File No. 33-26115.

- (13) The Company's 2013 Annual Report to shareholders, portions of which are incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K. Those portions of the 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders which are not incorporated by reference shall not be deemed to be filed as part of this Form 10-K.
- (14) Financial Code of Ethical Conduct between the Company, Chief Executive Officers and Financial Managers, adopted December 4, 2002, incorporated by reference to an exhibit filed with Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2003. File No. 33-26115.
- Subsidiaries of Registrant at September 30, 2012: Florida Rock (21)& Tank Lines, Inc. (a Florida corporation); Florida Rock Properties, Inc. (a Florida corporation); FRP Development Corp. (a Maryland corporation); FRP Maryland, Inc. (a Maryland corporation); 34 Loveton Center LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRTL, Inc. (a Florida corporation); SunBelt Transport, Inc. (a Florida corporation); Oz LLC(a Maryland limited liability company); 1502 Quarry, LLC(a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Lakeside LLC #1 (a Maryland limited company); FRP Lakeside LLC #2 (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Lakeside LLC #3 (a Maryland liability company); FRP Lakeside LLC #3 (a Maryland liability compa limited liability company); FRP Lakeside LLC #4 (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Lakeside LLC #5 (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Hillside LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Hillside LLC #2 (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Hillside LLC #3 (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Hillside LLC #4 (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Windsor LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Dorsey LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Bird River LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Interchange LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Azalea LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Manassas LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Hampstead LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Hollander 95 LLC (a Maryland limited liability company); FRP Transit Business Park (a Maryland limited liability company).
- (23)(a) Consent of Hancock Askew & Co., Inc., Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm, appears on page 30 of this Form 10-K.
- (31)(a) Certification of Thompson S. Baker II. (31)(b) Certification of Thompson S. Baker II.
- (31)(c) Certification of John D. Klopfenstein.
- (32) Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Accounting Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
  101.XSD XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
  101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
  101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
  101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
  101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

## PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC. INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES (Item 15(a) (1) and 2))

	<u>Page</u>					
Consolidated Financial Statements: Consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2013 and 2012						
For the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011: Consolidated statements of income Consolidated statements of comprehensive income Consolidated statements of cash flows Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity	15(a) 15(a) 17(a) 18(a)					
Notes to consolidated financial statements	19-29(a)					
Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm	30-31(a)					
Selected quarterly financial data (unaudited)	7(a)					
Consent of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm	31(b)					
Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedules	32(b)					
Consolidated Financial Statement Schedules:						
II - Valuation and qualifying accounts	32(b)					
<pre>III - Real estate and accumulated depreciation and     depletion</pre>	33-34(b)					
(a) Refers to the page number in the Company's 2013 And to Shareholders. Such information is incorpareference in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.						
(b) Refers to the page number in this Form 10-K						
All other schedules have been omitted, as they are not required	d under the					

related instructions, are inapplicable, or because the information

required is included in the consolidated financial statements.

### Exhibit 23

#### CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-8 (Nos. 333-55132, 333-125099 and 333-131475) of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. of our report dated December 4, 2013 relating to the consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc's internal control over financial reporting which appears in the Annual Report to Shareholders incorporated by reference herein. We also consent to the incorporation by reference of our report dated December 4, 2013, relating to the financial statement schedules, which appear in this Form 10-K.

Hancock Askew & Co., LLP

Savannah, Georgia December 4, 2013

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

To the Board of Directors of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.:

Our audit of the consolidated financial statements referred to in our report dated December 4, 2013 appearing in the 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. (which report and consolidated financial statements are incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K) also included an audit of the financial statement schedules listed in Item 15(a)(2) of this Form 10-K. These financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statement schedules based on our audit. In our opinion, these financial statement schedules present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements.

Hancock Askew & Co., LLP

Savannah, Georgia December 4, 2013

# PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC. SCHEDULE II (CONSOLIDATED) - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013, 2012 AND 2011

	BALANCE AT BEGIN. OF YEAR	ADDITIONS CHARGED TO COST AND EXPENSES	ADDITIONS CHARGED TO OTHER ACCOUNTS	DEDUCTIONS	BALANCE AT END OF YEAR
Year Ended September 30, 2013:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts Accrued risk	\$ 128,604	\$ 40,184	\$ –	\$ 6,854(a)	\$ 161,934
Insurance: Tanklines Sunbelt	\$3,670,950 —	\$1,919,650 —	\$ — —	\$ 4,053,842 —	\$1,536,758 —
Accrued health insurance Totals -	1,248,517	2,211,550		(c) 2,561,940(b)	898,127
insurance	\$4,919,467	\$4,131,200	\$ _	\$ 6,615,782	\$2,434,885
Year Ended September 30, 2012:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts Accrued risk Insurance:	<u>\$ 117,927</u>	\$ 41,435	\$ —	\$ 30,758(a)	\$ 128,604
Tanklines Sunbelt Accrued health		\$1,735,033 (151,505)		\$ 2,943,882 (121,987)	\$3,670,950 —
insurance	989,298	2,864,681	10,592	(c) 2,616,054(b)	1,248,517
Totals - insurance	\$5,898,615	\$4,448,209	\$ 10,592	\$ 5,437,949	\$4,919,467
Year Ended September 30, 2011:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts Accrued risk	\$ 90,770	\$ 67,628	\$	\$ 40,471(a)	\$ 117,927
Insurance: Tanklines Sunbelt Accrued health		\$1,833,845 (294,478)	•	\$ 863,400 785,899	\$4,879,799 29,518
insurance	967,746	2,339,594	(4,670)	(c) 2,313,372(b)	989,298
Totals - insurance	\$5,986,995	\$3,878,961	\$ (4,670)	\$ 3,962,671	\$5,898,615

Note: SunBelt's risk insurance expense for the years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was negative due to claims settled for less than prior estimates.

- (a) Accounts written off less recoveries
- (b) Payments
- (c) Other comprehensive income (ASC Topic 715).

## PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC. SCHEDULE III (CONSOLIDATED)-REAL ESTATE & ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND DEPLETION (dollars in thousands)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013

Construction Aggregates	County	Encumb- rances	Initial cost to Company	Cost capi- talized subsequent to acqui- sition	Gross amount at which carried at end of period (a)	Accumulated Depreciation & Depletion	Year Of Constr- uction	Date Acquired	Depreciation Life Computed on:
Clayton, GA		ates_	¢ 1.440	Φ 0	¢ 1.442	¢ 127	/	1/00	:4
Fayette, GA									
Lake, FL         402         0         402         146         n/a         4/86 unit           Lake Louisa, FL         11,039         0         11,039         0         n/a         5/12 unit           Lee, FL         4,690         6         4,696         6         n/a         4/86 unit           Monroc, GA         792         0         792         281         n/a         4/86 unit           Muscogee, GA         369         (45)         324         295         n/a         4/86 unit           Prince Wil., VA         299         0         299         299         n/a         4/86 unit           Putman, FL         0         35,089         (2)         35,087         5,522           Other Rental Property         Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         4/86         15 yr.           Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         4/86         5 yr.           Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         4/86         5 yr.           Spalding, GA         20         0         2         30         283         n/a         4/86									
Lake Louisa, FL         11,039         0         11,039         0         n/a         5/12         unit           Mee, FL         4,690         6         4,696         6         n/a         4/86         unit           Muscogee, GA         792         0         792         281         n/a         4/86         unit           Putnam, FL         15,002         37         15,039         4,289         n/a         4/86         unit           Putnam, FL         15,002         37         15,039         4,289         n/a         4/86         unit           Putnam, FL         15,002         37         15,039         4,289         n/a         4/86         unit           Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         1/86         15 yr.           Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         1/86         5 yr.           Spalding, GA         20         0         20         0         n/a         4/86         n/a           Lake, FL         1,083         0         1,083         968         n/a         4/86         unit           Marion, FL         1,180	•								
Lee, FL									
Monroe, GA									
Muscogee, GA   369									
Prince Will, VA									
Putnam, FL									
Other Rental Property           Wash D.C.         2,957         10,200         13,157         2,387         n/a         4/86         15 yr.           Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         10/97         n/a           Pumam, FL.         302         (2)         300         283         n/a         4/86         5 yr.           Spalding, GA         20         0         20         0         n/a         4/86         byr.           Lake, FL         1,083         0         1,083         968         n/a         4/86         unit           Marion, FL         1,180         4         1,184         599         n/a         4/86         unit           Commercial Property         Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>									
Other Rental Property   Wash D.C.   2,957   10,200   13,157   2,387   n/a   4/86   15 yr.   Wash D.C.   3,811   0   3,811   0   n/a   10/97   n/a     N/2   N/2	Pullalli, FL						II/a	4/80	umi
Wash D.C.         2,957         10,200         13,157         2,387         n/a         4/86         15 yr.           Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         10/97         n/a           Putnam, FL         302         (2)         300         283         n/a         4/86         5 yr.           Spalding, GA         20         0         20         0         n/a         4/86         unit           Mario, FL         1,180         4         1,184         599         n/a         4/86         unit           Mario, FL         1,180         4         1,184         599         n/a         4/86         unit           Commercial Property         Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231 </td <td>04 P 41P 4</td> <td></td> <td>35,089</td> <td>(2)</td> <td>35,087</td> <td>5,522</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	04 P 41P 4		35,089	(2)	35,087	5,522			
Wash D.C.         3,811         0         3,811         0         n/a         10/97         n/a           Putnam, FL         302         (2)         300         283         n/a         4/86         5 yr.           Spalding, GA         20         0         20         0         n/a         4/86         n/a           Lake, FL         1,083         0         1,083         968         n/a         4/86         unit           Marion, FL         0         9,353         10,202         19,555         4,237         4/86         unit           Commercial Property           Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194 <t< td=""><td></td><td><u>/</u></td><td>2.057</td><td>10.200</td><td>10.157</td><td>2 207</td><td>,</td><td>1/06</td><td>1.5</td></t<>		<u>/</u>	2.057	10.200	10.157	2 207	,	1/06	1.5
Putnam, FL   302   (2)   300   283   n/a   4/86   5 yr.     Spalding, GA   20   0   20   0   0   n/a   4/86   n/a     Lake, FL   1,083   0   1,083   968   n/a   4/86   unit     Marion, FL   0   9,353   10,202   19,555   4,237     Baltimore, MD   2,010   439   4,662   5,101   2,518   1990   10/89   39 yr.     Baltimore, MD   4,357   950   6,902   7,852   4,199   1994   12/91   39 yr.     Baltimore, MD   1,486   690   2,861   3,551   1,337   2000   07/99   39 yr.     Baltimore, MD   0   5,634   10,597   16,231   664   2008   12/02   39 yr.     Baltimore City, MD   0   5,750   4,755   10,505   482   2010   12/10   39 yr.     Baltimore City, MD   0   7,442   225   7,667   73   n/a   6/13   39 yr.     Baltimore City, MD   0   7,442   225   7,667   73   n/a   6/13   39 yr.     Baltimore City, MD   0   1,194   31   3,830   3,861   1,923   1998   8/95   39 yr.     Harford, MD   1,194   31   3,830   3,861   1,923   1998   8/95   39 yr.     Harford, MD   2,405   50   5,699   5,749   2,241   1999   8/95   39 yr.     Harford, MD   3,787   85   7,091   7,176   3,155   2001   8/95   39 yr.     Harford, MD   2,873   88   10,133   10,221   3,534   2007   8/95   39 yr.     Harford, MD   1,344   2,473   981   3,454   1,251   2000   3/00   39 yr.     Howard, MD   1,344   2,473   981   3,454   1,251   2000   3/00   39 yr.     Howard, MD   1,344   2,473   981   3,454   1,251   2000   3/00   39 yr.     Howard, MD   1,344   2,473   981   3,454   1,251   2000   3/00   39 yr.     Howard, MD   1,344   2,473   981   3,454   1,251   2000   3/00   39 yr.     Anne Arun, MD   0   1,525   10,800   12,325   2,763   2005   8/04   39 yr.     Anne Arun, MD   0   1,525   10,800   12,325   2,763   2005   8/04   39 yr.     Anne Arun, MD   0   6,67   10,259   10,926   1,584   2012   7/07   39 yr.     Anne Arun, MD   0   6,67   10,259   10,926   1,584   2012   7/07   39 yr.     Prince Wil., VA   0   7,324   18,113   25,437   202   n/a   12/05   39 yr.     Prince Wil., VA   0   7,324   18,113   25,437   202   n/a   12/05   39 yr.     Prince Wil., VA									•
Spalding, GA         20         0         20         0         n/a         4/86         n/a           Lake, FL         1,083         0         1,083         968         n/a         4/86         unit           Marion, FL         1,180         4         1,184         599         n/a         4/86         unit           Commercial Property           Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Duval, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         4/86         25 yr.									
Lake, FL         1,083         0         1,083         968         n/a         4/86         unit           Marion, FL         0         9,353         10,202         19,555         4,237           Commercial Property           Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         2225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         2225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.									•
Marion, FL         1,180         4         1,184         599         n/a         4/86         unit           Commercial Property         Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95									
Commercial Property           Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176									
Commercial Property         Baltimore, MD         2,010         439         4,662         5,101         2,518         1990         10/89         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/62         59 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1	Marion, FL						n/a	4/80	unit
Baltimore, MD	G		9,353	10,202	19,555	4,237			
Baltimore, MD         4,357         950         6,902         7,852         4,199         1994         12/91         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Duval, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         4/86         25 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176         3,155         2001         8/95         39 y			120	1	<b>7</b> 101	2.510	1000	10/00	20
Baltimore, MD         1,486         690         2,861         3,551         1,337         2000         07/99         39 yr.           Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Davial, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176         3,155         2001         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         0         92         1,487         1,579         0         n/a         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,155         155         12,331         12,486         3,222         2009         8/95         39 yr.									
Baltimore, MD         0         5,634         10,597         16,231         664         2008         12/02         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Duval, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         4/86         25 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176         3,155         2001         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         0         92         1,487         1,579         0         n/a         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,873         88         10,133         10,221         3,534         2007         8/95         39 yr. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Baltimore City, MD         0         5,750         4,755         10,505         482         2010         12/10         39 yr.           Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Duval, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         4/86         25 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176         3,155         2001         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         0         92         1,487         1,579         0         n/a         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,873         88         10,133         10,221         3,534         2007         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         1,644         2,859         4,750         7,609         3,841         1996         9/88         39 yr. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Baltimore City, MD         0         7,442         225         7,667         73         n/a         6/13         39 yr.           Duval, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         4/86         25 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176         3,155         2001         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         0         92         1,487         1,579         0         n/a         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,873         88         10,133         10,221         3,534         2007         8/95         39 yr.           Howard, MD         1,644         2,859         4,750         7,609         3,841         1996         9/88         39 yr.           Howard, MD         1,344         2,473         981         3,454         1,251         2000         3/00         39 yr.									
Duval, FL         0         2,416         541         2,957         2,731         n/a         4/86         25 yr.           Harford, MD         1,194         31         3,830         3,861         1,923         1998         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,405         50         5,699         5,749         2,241         1999         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         3,787         85         7,091         7,176         3,155         2001         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         0         92         1,487         1,579         0         n/a         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,873         88         10,133         10,221         3,534         2007         8/95         39 yr.           Harford, MD         2,155         155         12,331         12,486         3,222         2009         8/95         39 yr.           Howard, MD         1,644         2,859         4,750         7,609         3,841         1996         9/88         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         715         8,865         9,580         5,123         1989         9/88         39 yr.	-								
Harford, MD									
Harford, MD 2,405 50 5,699 5,749 2,241 1999 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 3,787 85 7,091 7,176 3,155 2001 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 0 92 1,487 1,579 0 n/a 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,873 88 10,133 10,221 3,534 2007 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,155 155 12,331 12,486 3,222 2009 8/95 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,644 2,859 4,750 7,609 3,841 1996 9/88 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,344 2,473 981 3,454 1,251 2000 3/00 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 7,379 950 13,120 14,070 4,105 2003 5/98 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 7,379 950 13,120 14,070 4,105 2003 5/98 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 1,525 10,800 12,325 2,763 2005 8/04 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 4,012 737 5,324 6,061 1,330 2006 1/03 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 4,012 737 5,324 6,061 1,330 2006 1/03 39 yr. Norfolk, VA 5,577 7,512 0 7,512 2,001 2004 10/04 39 yr. Prince Wil., VA 0 7,324 18,113 25,437 202 n/a 12/05 39 yr. Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr. Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a									
Harford, MD 3,787 85 7,091 7,176 3,155 2001 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 0 92 1,487 1,579 0 n/a 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,873 88 10,133 10,221 3,534 2007 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,155 155 12,331 12,486 3,222 2009 8/95 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,644 2,859 4,750 7,609 3,841 1996 9/88 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,344 2,473 981 3,454 1,251 2000 3/00 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 715 8,865 9,580 5,123 1989 9/88 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 7,379 950 13,120 14,070 4,105 2003 5/98 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 1,525 10,800 12,325 2,763 2005 8/04 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 4,012 737 5,324 6,061 1,330 2006 1/03 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 667 10,259 10,926 1,584 2012 7/07 39 yr. Norfolk, VA 5,577 7,512 0 7,512 2,001 2004 10/04 39 yr. Prince Wil., VA 0 7,324 18,113 25,437 202 n/a 12/05 39 yr. Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr. Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a									
Harford, MD 0 92 1,487 1,579 0 n/a 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,873 88 10,133 10,221 3,534 2007 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,155 155 12,331 12,486 3,222 2009 8/95 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,644 2,859 4,750 7,609 3,841 1996 9/88 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,344 2,473 981 3,454 1,251 2000 3/00 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 715 8,865 9,580 5,123 1989 9/88 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 7,379 950 13,120 14,070 4,105 2003 5/98 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 1,525 10,800 12,325 2,763 2005 8/04 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 4,012 737 5,324 6,061 1,330 2006 1/03 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 667 10,259 10,926 1,584 2012 7/07 39 yr. Norfolk, VA 5,577 7,512 0 7,512 2,001 2004 10/04 39 yr. Prince Wil., VA 0 7,324 18,113 25,437 202 n/a 12/05 39 yr. Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr. Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a		,							•
Harford, MD 2,873 88 10,133 10,221 3,534 2007 8/95 39 yr. Harford, MD 2,155 155 12,331 12,486 3,222 2009 8/95 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,644 2,859 4,750 7,609 3,841 1996 9/88 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,344 2,473 981 3,454 1,251 2000 3/00 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 715 8,865 9,580 5,123 1989 9/88 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 7,379 950 13,120 14,070 4,105 2003 5/98 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 1,525 10,800 12,325 2,763 2005 8/04 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 4,012 737 5,324 6,061 1,330 2006 1/03 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 667 10,259 10,926 1,584 2012 7/07 39 yr. Norfolk, VA 5,577 7,512 0 7,512 2,001 2004 10/04 39 yr. Prince Wil., VA 0 7,324 18,113 25,437 202 n/a 12/05 39 yr. Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr. Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a									
Harford, MD 2,155 155 12,331 12,486 3,222 2009 8/95 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,644 2,859 4,750 7,609 3,841 1996 9/88 39 yr. Howard, MD 1,344 2,473 981 3,454 1,251 2000 3/00 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 715 8,865 9,580 5,123 1989 9/88 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 7,379 950 13,120 14,070 4,105 2003 5/98 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 1,525 10,800 12,325 2,763 2005 8/04 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 4,012 737 5,324 6,061 1,330 2006 1/03 39 yr. Anne Arun, MD 0 667 10,259 10,926 1,584 2012 7/07 39 yr. Norfolk, VA 5,577 7,512 0 7,512 2,001 2004 10/04 39 yr. Prince Wil., VA 0 7,324 18,113 25,437 202 n/a 12/05 39 yr. Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr. Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a									
Howard, MD         1,644         2,859         4,750         7,609         3,841         1996         9/88         39 yr.           Howard, MD         1,344         2,473         981         3,454         1,251         2000         3/00         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         715         8,865         9,580         5,123         1989         9/88         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         7,379         950         13,120         14,070         4,105         2003         5/98         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         1,525         10,800         12,325         2,763         2005         8/04         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         4,012         737         5,324         6,061         1,330         2006         1/03         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         667         10,259         10,926         1,584         2012         7/07         39 yr.           Norfolk, VA         5,577         7,512         0         7,512         2,001         2004         10/04         39 yr.           Prince Wil., VA         0         7,324         18,113         25,437         202         n/a         12/05 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				,					
Howard, MD									
Anne Arun, MD         0         715         8,865         9,580         5,123         1989         9/88         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         7,379         950         13,120         14,070         4,105         2003         5/98         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         1,525         10,800         12,325         2,763         2005         8/04         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         4,012         737         5,324         6,061         1,330         2006         1/03         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         667         10,259         10,926         1,584         2012         7/07         39 yr.           Norfolk, VA         5,577         7,512         0         7,512         2,001         2004         10/04         39 yr.           Prince Wil., VA         0         7,324         18,113         25,437         202         n/a         12/05         39 yr.           Newcastle Co., DE         9,681         11,559         2,268         13,827         3,457         2004         4/04         39 yr.           Carroll, MD         0         4,720         2,305         7,025         0         n/a         3/08									
Anne Arun, MD         7,379         950         13,120         14,070         4,105         2003         5/98         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         1,525         10,800         12,325         2,763         2005         8/04         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         4,012         737         5,324         6,061         1,330         2006         1/03         39 yr.           Anne Arun, MD         0         667         10,259         10,926         1,584         2012         7/07         39 yr.           Norfolk, VA         5,577         7,512         0         7,512         2,001         2004         10/04         39 yr.           Prince Wil., VA         0         7,324         18,113         25,437         202         n/a         12/05         39 yr.           Newcastle Co., DE         9,681         11,559         2,268         13,827         3,457         2004         4/04         39 yr.           Carroll, MD         0         4,720         2,305         7,025         0         n/a         3/08         n/a           49,904         64,863         147,899         212,762         51,736         51,736         3/08         n/a     <									
Anne Arun, MD       0       1,525       10,800       12,325       2,763       2005       8/04       39 yr.         Anne Arun, MD       4,012       737       5,324       6,061       1,330       2006       1/03       39 yr.         Anne Arun, MD       0       667       10,259       10,926       1,584       2012       7/07       39 yr.         Norfolk, VA       5,577       7,512       0       7,512       2,001       2004       10/04       39 yr.         Prince Wil., VA       0       7,324       18,113       25,437       202       n/a       12/05       39 yr.         Newcastle Co., DE       9,681       11,559       2,268       13,827       3,457       2004       4/04       39 yr.         Carroll, MD       0       4,720       2,305       7,025       0       n/a       3/08       n/a         49,904       64,863       147,899       212,762       51,736       51,736       51,736									
Anne Arun, MD       4,012       737       5,324       6,061       1,330       2006       1/03       39 yr.         Anne Arun, MD       0       667       10,259       10,926       1,584       2012       7/07       39 yr.         Norfolk, VA       5,577       7,512       0       7,512       2,001       2004       10/04       39 yr.         Prince Wil., VA       0       7,324       18,113       25,437       202       n/a       12/05       39 yr.         Newcastle Co., DE       9,681       11,559       2,268       13,827       3,457       2004       4/04       39 yr.         Carroll, MD       0       4,720       2,305       7,025       0       n/a       3/08       n/a         49,904       64,863       147,899       212,762       51,736       51,736									
Anne Arun, MD 0 667 10,259 10,926 1,584 2012 7/07 39 yr.  Norfolk, VA 5,577 7,512 0 7,512 2,001 2004 10/04 39 yr.  Prince Wil., VA 0 7,324 18,113 25,437 202 n/a 12/05 39 yr.  Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr.  Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a  49,904 64,863 147,899 212,762 51,736									
Norfolk, VA         5,577         7,512         0         7,512         2,001         2004         10/04         39 yr.           Prince Wil., VA         0         7,324         18,113         25,437         202         n/a         12/05         39 yr.           Newcastle Co., DE Ocarroll, MD         9,681         11,559         2,268         13,827         3,457         2004         4/04         39 yr.           Carroll, MD         0         4,720         2,305         7,025         0         n/a         3/08         n/a           49,904         64,863         147,899         212,762         51,736         51,736         51,736									
Prince Wil., VA         0         7,324         18,113         25,437         202         n/a         12/05         39 yr.           Newcastle Co., DE         9,681         11,559         2,268         13,827         3,457         2004         4/04         39 yr.           Carroll, MD         0         4,720         2,305         7,025         0         n/a         3/08         n/a           49,904         64,863         147,899         212,762         51,736         51,736					,				
Newcastle Co., DE 9,681 11,559 2,268 13,827 3,457 2004 4/04 39 yr. Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a 49,904 64,863 147,899 212,762 51,736									
Carroll, MD 0 4,720 2,305 7,025 0 n/a 3/08 n/a 49,904 64,863 147,899 212,762 51,736									
49,904 64,863 147,899 212,762 51,736									-
	Carron, MD						11/a	3/08	11/ a
	Investment Property	49,904					n/a	4/86	n/a

GRAND TOTALS \$ 49,904 \$ 111,536 \$ 157,396 \$ 268,932 \$ 62,167

<sup>(</sup>a) The aggregate cost for Federal income tax purposes is \$239,194.

# PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC. SCHEDULE III (CONSOLIDATED) - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND DEPLETION YEARS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2013, 2012 AND 2011 (In thousands)

	2013	2012	2011
Gross Carrying Cost of Real Estate:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 262,564	\$ 241,253	\$ 225,630
Additions during period: Amounts capitalized	22,228	21,380	15,899
Deductions during period: Cost of real estate sold Other	(10,021) (5,839)	(69) —	(276)
Balance at close of period	\$ 268,932	\$ 262,564	\$ 241,253
Accumulated Depreciation & Depletion:			
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 58,997	\$ 54,110	\$ 49,499
Additions during period: Charged to cost & expense	4,938	4,956	4,611
Deductions during period: Real estate sold Other	(461) (1,307)	(69) —	_ 
Balance at close of period	\$ 62,167	\$ 58,997	\$ 54,110

### Annual Report 2013 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Years ended September 30

(Amounts in thousands except per share amounts)

		2013	2012	% Change
Revenues Operating profit Income from continuing operations Discontinued operations, net Net income	ማ ማ ማ ማ	139,774 20,434 15,385 - 15,385	127,514 14,101 7,711 97 7,808	9.6 44.9 99.5 (100.0) 97.0
Per common share: Income from continuing operations: Basic Diluted Discontinued operations: Basic Diluted Net income:	ያ ያ ያ	1.62 1.60 0.00 0.00	0.82 0.81 0.01 0.01	97.6 97.5 (100.0) (100.0)
Basic Diluted	\$ \$	1.62 1.60	0.83 0.82	95.2 95.1
Total Assets Total Debt Shareholders' Equity Common Shares Outstanding Book Value Per Common Share	\$ \$ \$ \$	287,093 49,904 192,646 9,564 20.14	275,705 62,370 174,718 9,441 18.51	4.1 (20.0) 10.3 1.3 8.8

BUSINESS. The Company is engaged in the transportation and real estate businesses. The Company's transportation business is conducted through a wholly owned subsidiary, Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc. (Tank Lines), which is a Southeastern U.S. based tank truck company concentrating in the hauling of primarily petroleum products and other liquids and dry bulk commodities. The Company's real estate group, comprised of FRP Development Corp. and Florida Rock Properties, Inc., acquires, constructs, leases, operates and manages land and buildings to generate both current cash flows and long-term capital appreciation. The real estate group also owns real estate which is leased under mining royalty agreements or held for investment.

**OBJECTIVES**. The Company's dual objectives are to continue building a substantial transportation company and a real estate company providing sound long-term growth, cash generation and asset appreciation.

#### TRANSPORTATION

Internal growth is accomplished by a dedicated and competent work force emphasizing superior service to customers in existing markets, developing new transportation services for customers in current market areas and expanding into new market areas.

External growth is designed to broaden the Company's geographic market area and delivery services by acquiring related businesses.

#### REAL ESTATE

The growth plan is based on the acquisition, development and management of mining royalty lands and commercial warehouse/office rental properties located in appropriate sub-markets in order to provide long-term positive cash flows and capital appreciation.

### To Our Shareholders

Fiscal 2013 was a very good year. Operating profit increased in each of our segments quite significantly. We closed, finally, on the first phase of the Bird River Residential Project after several previous near misses. We found an acquisition candidate within our markets for our transportation segment and closed on that acquisition immediately following the end of the fiscal year. We added 349,918 square feet of space to our warehouse portfolio; we improved its occupancy by one percentage point; and we completed one build-to-suit building in our Patriot Business Park and commenced another for the same tenant during the year.

## The Transportation Segment:

Once again, our transportation segment achieved a remarkable PAFR (Preventable Accident Frequency Ratio) that, while not quite as good as the two previous years, was better than any of the twelve prior years! Its operating profit for fiscal 2013 increased 25.1% over fiscal 2012. Our revenue producing driver count at the end of fiscal 2012 was 587 and at the end of 2013 was a record 625.

Our transportation segment grew, not only its top line, but continued its expansion of geographical base into South Carolina and North Carolina and with the Pipeline acquisition completed just after the end of the fiscal year, it will be able to increase its revenues year over year by more than 10% in fiscal 2014.

## Mining Royalty Land Segment:

For fiscal 2013, our mining and royalty segment grew its revenues by virtue of adding the new potential sand quarry in central Florida in the later part of fiscal 2012 and increased royalties at several other locations resulting in a operating profit increase for fiscal 2013 of 24.0% over fiscal 2012. While a number of our quarries are still receiving minimum royalties, the outlook for the sector seems improved over a year ago and we look forward to continuing growth in revenue and operating profit in this sector. During the year, the industry witnessed several transactions involving the purchase of future reserves by real estate investment trusts from existing operators such as Vulcan Materials. While these purchases were not a perfect parallel for our mining and royalties properties, they did illustrate that the value of such reserves may be significantly higher than simply a cap rate applied to the net operating income while the segment is at the low end of its cycle.

## Developed Property Rentals Segment:

During fiscal 2013, our developed buildings and land segments achieved certain new milestones in performance. First of all, the occupancy rate rose by the end of the year back to 89.6%, much closer to the occupancy norms we experienced prior to the financial crisis of 2008 and 2009. The Windlass Run residential project went under contract twice more but the second contract proved to be effective and the first phase was closed during the last quarter of fiscal 2013. We were able to achieve a tax free exchange for a well located Transit Business Park in our Baltimore market adding another 232,318 square feet of rentable space.

Our Patriot Business Park near Manassas, Virginia, was our most active property during fiscal 2013. We completed our first build-to-suit for a tenant who occupied the building in January 2013. More significantly, that same tenant executed a new contract for a substantially identical build-to-suit that commenced construction and should be occupied during the second quarter of fiscal 2014. We also closed the sale of another parcel in such park with a small profit during the year. All in all, we started the fiscal year with 2,927,161 square

feet of rentable space in our portfolio and we concluded the year with 3,277,079 square feet of rentable space in our portfolio with another 125,500 square feet under construction.

On the bottom line, our operating profit increased 85.8% as we were not burdened in 2013 with the environmental charge suffered at the end of fiscal 2012 with respect to our Anacostia property. And speaking of Anacostia, fiscal 2013 saw us complete our zoning approvals with the District of Columbia, complete the execution of our joint venture agreement with our partner for Phase I, MRP Realty, and, with the close of the year, drawings and specifications were being prepared in anticipation of a construction start for Phase I sometime prior to the conclusion of fiscal 2014.

Last year, in this letter, we noted that this segment contains the largest portion of our asset base and its performance on the old metric of return on capital employed after tax was less than we desired. We are happy to report that, during the year, we made strides on this metric as we were able to sell five separate parcels for a total of \$15,520,000 lowering the amount of underproductive assets significantly by the close of 2013.

## Spin-Off Considerations:

During 2013, the company explored the advisability and feasibility of a tax-free spin-off of our transportation segment. We have made no decision regarding a separation of the transportation and real estate business, but we are committed to pursuing a long-term strategy that maximizes value to our shareholders.

On a consolidated basis, our 2013 earnings represented 97.0% increase over fiscal 2012. This impressive increase did not happen accidently! It came about as a result of the dedication and discipline of all the men and women of our Patriot team to whom we hereby give the credit and express our sincere appreciation. Finally, we note that fiscal 2013 generated a \$17.9 million increase in shareholder equity. As always, we do not take lightly your continuing investment in our Company and look forward to achieving continuing growth in fiscal 2014. Thank you for your support.

Respectively yours,

John D. Baker II Executive Chairman

Thompson S. Baker II
President & Chief Executive Officer

#### **OPERATING PROPERTIES**

**Transportation.** During fiscal 2013, the Company's transportation segment operated through a wholly owned subsidiary, Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc. (Tank Lines). Tank Lines is engaged in hauling petroleum and other liquid and dry bulk commodities in tank trucks.

Tank Lines operates from terminals in Jacksonville, Orlando, Panama City, Pensacola, Port Everglades, Tampa and White Springs, Florida; Albany, Atlanta, Augusta, Bainbridge, Columbus, Macon and Savannah, Georgia; Chattanooga, Knoxville and Nashville, Tennessee; Birmingham and Montgomery, Alabama; Wilmington, North Carolina; and Spartanburg, South Carolina.

At September 30, 2013 the transportation segment owned and operated a fleet of 435 trucks and 533 trailers plus 3 additional trucks being prepared for service and 4 additional trucks that were being prepared for sale. During fiscal 2013, the transportation segment purchased 96 new tractors and 33 trailers. In fiscal 2011 and 2012, the Company purchased 120 new tractors. The fiscal 2014 capital budget includes 90 new tractors and 30 new trailers including binding commitments to purchase 40 tractors and 6 trailers at September 30, 2013. We are replacing a larger than average number of tractors purchased prior to 2007 engine changes required by the EPA. We anticipate this more modern fleet will result in reduced maintenance expenses, improved operating efficiencies and enhanced driver recruitment and retention.

The Company's transportation segment acquired certain assets of Pipeline Transportation, Inc. on November 7, 2013. Pipeline's operations have been conducted in the Florida and Alabama markets also served by Florida Rock and Tank Lines, Inc. For the twelve month period ending June 30, 2013, Pipeline had gross revenues of just over \$16,500,000.

Mining Royalty Land. The mining royalty land segment owns and seeks to acquire land with construction aggregates deposits, a substantial portion of which is leased to Vulcan Materials Company under long-term mining royalty agreements, whereby the Company is paid a percentage of the revenues generated or annual minimums. The segment also owns mining related land held for future appreciation or development. In May 2012 the Company acquired approximately 1,200 acres near Lake Louisa, Florida which is west of Orlando, Florida for a purchase price of \$11 million. The Company simultaneously executed a long-term royalty lease under which it receives a minimum monthly royalty payment until the tenant receives the necessary permits and begins mining sand. At September 30, 2013, the mining royalty land segment owned the following properties:

- 1) Locations currently being mined on a total of 10,423 acres in Grandin, Keuka and Newberry, Florida, Columbus, Macon and Tyrone, Georgia and Manassas, Virginia. Tons sold in 2013 totaled 4,459,000 leaving estimated reserves of 336,885,000 tons.
- 2) Locations under royalty agreements but not currently being mined on a total of 4,771 acres in Ft. Myers, Airgrove/Lake County, Marion County, Astatula/Lake County, and Lake Louisa, Florida, and Forest Park Georgia. Tons sold in 2013 totaled 25,000 leaving estimated reserves of 96,532,000 tons.

The Ft. Myers residential property in Lee County, Florida is part of a 1,993 acre site under a long-term mining lease to Vulcan. In June, 2010 the Company entered into a letter agreement with Vulcan Materials Company that required modifications to the existing mining lease on our property, such that the mining will be accelerated and the mining plan will be revised to accommodate future construction of up to 105 residential dwelling units around the mined

lakes. In return the Company agreed to grant Lee County a right of way for a road and to place a conservation easement on part of the property.

- 3) The segment owns 1,923 acres of investment properties in Gulf Hammock, Brooksville, Palatka, and Polk County, Florida and Yatesville and Henderson, Georgia.
- 4) Brooksville Quarry LLC. On October 4, 2006, a subsidiary of the Company (FRP) entered into a Joint Venture Agreement with Vulcan Materials Company (formerly Florida Rock Industries, Inc.) to form Brooksville Quarry, LLC, a real estate joint venture to develop approximately 4,300 acres of land near Brooksville, Florida. In April 2011, the Florida Department of Community Affairs issued its Final Order approving the development of the Project, and zoning for the Project was obtained in August 2012.

Developed Property Rentals. The developed property rentals segment acquires, constructs, leases and manages land and commercial buildings in the Baltimore/Northern Virginia/Washington and Jacksonville, Florida areas.

At September 30, 2013, the developed property rentals segment owned 400 acres in 15 developed parcels of land all but one of which are in the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States as follows:

- 1) Hillside Business Park in Anne Arundel County, Maryland consists of 49 usable acres. Four warehouse/office buildings and one suburban office building totaling 567,473 square feet exist on the property and are 99% occupied.
- 2) Lakeside Business Park in Harford County, Maryland consists of 84 usable acres. Nine warehouse/office buildings totaling 893,722 square feet exist on 64 of these acres and are 91.3% occupied. The remaining 20 acres are available for future development and have the potential to offer an additional 266,530 square feet of comparable product.
- 3) 6920 Tudsbury Road in Baltimore County, Maryland contains 5.3 acres with 86,100 square feet of warehouse/office space that is 100% occupied by a single tenant.
- 4) 8620 Dorsey Run Road in Howard County, Maryland contains 5.8 acres with 85,100 square feet of warehouse/office space that is 100% occupied.
- 5) Rossville Business Center in Baltimore County, Maryland contains approximately 10 acres with 190,517 square feet of warehouse/office space and is 100% occupied.
- 6) 34 Loveton Circle in suburban Baltimore County, Maryland contains 8.5 acres with 33,708 square feet of office space, which is 81% occupied including 24% of the space occupied by the Company.
- 7) Oregon Business Center in Anne Arundel County, Maryland contains approximately 17 acres with 195,615 square feet of warehouse/office space, which is 89% occupied.
- 8) Arundel Business Center in Howard County, Maryland contains approximately 11 acres with 162,796 square feet of warehouse/office space. A new lease commenced October 2013 for 13,645 square feet increasing occupancy to 100%.
- 9) 100-400 Interchange Boulevard in New Castle County, Delaware contains approximately 17 acres with 303,006 square feet of warehouse/office space, which is 49% occupied. Chrysler and General Motors plant closings in 2008 continued to keep a reduced demand for space in this market. The remaining 8.8

acres are available for excess trailer storage or an additional 93,600 square feet of comparable product.

- 10) 1187 Azalea Garden Road in Norfolk, Virginia contains approximately 12 acres with 188,093 square feet of warehouse/office space, which is 100% occupied.
- 11) Windlass Run Business Park in Baltimore County, Maryland contains 69,474 square feet of warehouse/office space on 4.7 acres that is currently 50% occupied. An additional 26 acres (excluding wetlands) of fully developed land is available with the potential to offer 386,626 square feet of warehouse, office, flex and retail buildings. An agreement to lease 55,729 square feet is scheduled to commence January 2014 and will increase occupancy to 100%.
- 12) 155 E. 21st Street in Duval County, Florida contains approximately 6 acres with 68,757 square feet of office space which is 100% leased to Vulcan.
- 13) Hollander 95 Business Park in Baltimore City, Maryland was purchased in October of 2010 and contains 82,800 square feet of warehouse/office space on 3.8 acres which is 100% leased. An additional 38 acres of partially developed land is available with the potential to offer 425,750 square feet of warehouse, office, hotel and flex buildings.
- 14) Patriot Business Park in Prince William County, Maryland contains 117,600 square feet of office space on 10 acres which is 100% occupied. The Company entered into a build to suit lease in May 2013 for a 125,500 square foot building situated on 8 acres which is currently under construction and scheduled for completion and occupancy during the first quarter of calendar 2014. The remaining 37 acres have the potential to offer an additional 390,000 square feet of comparable product.
- 15) Transit Business Park in Baltimore, Maryland was purchased in June of 2013 and contains five buildings totaling 232,318 square feet on 14.5 acres and is 88% occupied.

Additionally at September 30, 2013 the developed property rentals segment owned the following parcels held for future development or appreciation:

- 1) Windlass Run Residential (previously Bird River) phase 2, located in southeastern Baltimore County, Maryland, is a 74 acre tract of land adjacent to our Windlass Run Business Park. In September 2012, the Company received a non-binding letter of intent to sell the property for \$18.8 million in two phases. The letter of intent to sell the property was converted into 2 executed contracts that expired during the due diligence period. The Company executed two contracts on April 17, 2013 with another buyer for the sale of phase 1 of the property in the quarter ending September 30, 2013 for \$8.0 million and the balance for \$11.0 million approximately 18 months later. The sale of phase 1 was completed in August of 2013 and resulted in a gain of \$4,928,000.
- 2) Anacostia River. The Company owns a 5.8 acre parcel of undeveloped real estate in Washington D.C. that fronts the Anacostia River and is adjacent to the Washington Nationals Baseball Park. The parcel was leased to a subsidiary of Vulcan Materials Company from 1986 through August 2011. In September 2011 Vulcan commenced a long term lease for a Company owned 2.1 acre tract which is nearby on the same bank of the Anacostia River. The approved planned unit development for the 5.8 acre parcel permits the Company to develop a four building, mixed use project, containing approximately 545,800 square feet of office and retail space and approximately 569,600 square feet of additional space for residential and hotel uses. The approved development would include

numerous publicly accessible open spaces and a waterfront esplanade along the Anacostia River.

In March 30, 2012 the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement with MRP SE Waterfront Residential, LLC. ("MRP") to form a joint venture to develop the first phase only of the four phase master development known as RiverFront on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. The purpose of the Joint Venture is to develop, own, lease and possibly sell an approximately 300,000 square foot residential apartment building (including approximately 18,000 square feet of retail) on a portion of the roughly 5.82 acre site. The joint venture, Riverfront Investment Partners I, LLC ("Riverfront I") was formed in June 2013 as contemplated. The Company's cost of the property to be contributed of \$5,839,000 was transferred from Property, Plant and Equipment to Investment in joint ventures and is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. MRP will contribute capital of \$4,000,000 to the joint venture including development costs paid prior to formation of the joint venture. MRP will raise any additional equity capital and obtain a nonrecourse loan for the balance of the estimated construction and lease up costs. At this point the Company anticipates commencement of construction of Phase I in mid 2014 with lease up scheduled between late 2015 and all of 2016. The Company's equity interest in the joint venture will be determined based on leverage of the entity, additional cash contributions by the Company, and negotiations with potential third partners.

3) Leister property in Hampstead, Carroll County, Maryland is a 117 acre parcel located adjacent to State Route 30 bypass. The parcel is currently zoned for industrial use. Alternative uses are being investigated in order to maximize this assets' profitability and expedite it's disposition.

Real Estate Group Property Summary Schedule at September 30, 2013 (dollars in thousands)

	E	Encumb-		Gross	Net		Date	F	Revenue
		rances	I	Book Cost	В	ook Value	Acquire	Fi	scal 2013
County							d		
Mining Royalty Land									
Alachua, FL			\$	1,442	\$	1,305	4/86	\$	538
Clayton, GA				369		364	4/86		98
Fayette, GA				685		621	4/86		250
Lake, FL				402		256	4/86		69
Lake, FL				1,083		115	4/86		132
Lake Louisa, FL				11,039		11,039	5/12		782
Lee, FL				4,696		4,690	4/86		457
Monroe, GA				792		511	4/86		541
Muscogee, GA				324		29	4/86		230
Prince Wil. VA				299		0	4/86		433
Putnam, FL				15,039		10,750	4/86		1,401
Putnam, FL				300		17	4/86		0
Spalding, GA				20		20	4/86		5
Marion, FL				1,184		585	4/86		132
Investment Property				1,528		856	4/86		17
Brooksville Joint Venture				7,511		7,511	4/86		217
		0		46,713		38,669		-	5,302
		Ü		10,713		30,007			3,302
Developed Property Rentals									
Baltimore, MD		2,010		5,101		2,583	10/89		323
Baltimore, MD		4,357		7,852		3,653	12/91		1,278
Baltimore, MD		1,486		3,551		2,214	7/99		462
Baltimore, MD		0		16,231		15,567	12/02		167
Baltimore City, MD		0		10,505		10,023	12/10		307
Baltimore City, MD		0		7,667		7,594	6/13		356
Duval, FL		0		2,957		226	4/86		730
Harford, MD		1,194		3,861		1,938	8/95		754
Harford, MD		2,405		5,749		3,508	8/95		1,154
Harford, MD		3,787		7,176		4,021	8/95		1,582
Harford, MD		0		1,579		1,579	8/95		0
Harford, MD		2,873		10,221		6,687	8/95		1,830
Harford, MD		2,155		12,486		9,264	8/95		1,288
Howard, MD		1,644		7,609		3,768	9/88		1,191
Howard, MD		1,344		3,454		2,203	3/00		575
Anne Arun, MD		0		9,580		4,457	9/88		1,122
Anne Arun, MD		7,379		14,070		9,965	5/98		2,120
Anne Arun, MD		0		12,325		9,562	8/04		1,798
Anne Arun, MD		4,012		6,061		4,731	1/03		714
Anne Arun, MD		0		10,926		9,342	7/07		1,360
Norfolk, VA		5,577		7,512		5,511	10/04		824
Prince Wil. VA		0		25,437		25,235	12/05		917
Newcastle Co. DE		9,681		13,827		10,370	4/04		695
Carroll, MD		0		7,025		7,025	3/08		0
Wash D.C.		0		13,157		10,770	4/86		0
Wash D.C.		0		3,811		3,811	10/97		805
,, aon D.C.		49,904	-	229,730		175,607	10/7/		22,352
		+2,704		449,130		1/3,00/			44,334
Grand Totals	\$	49,904	\$	276,443	\$	214,276		\$	27,654

# Five Year Summary-Years ended September 30

(Amounts in thousands except per share amounts)

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Summary of Operations:					
Revenues	\$139,774	127,514	120,106	111,338	114,553
Operating profit	\$ 20,434	14,101	14,369	14,503	16,128
Interest expense	\$ 2,520	2,638	3,346	3,928	3,482
Income from continuing					
Operations	\$ 15,385	7,711	6,989	7,056	7,908
Per Common Share:	. ,	•	,	•	,
Basic	\$ 1.62	.82	.75	.77	.87
Diluted	\$ 1.60	.81	.74	.75	.85
Discontinued	•				
Operations, net	\$ -	97	5,222	315	(4,155)
Net income	\$ 15,385	7,808	12,211	7,371	3,753
Per Common Share:					
Basic	\$ 1.62	.83	1.32	.80	.41
Diluted	\$ 1.60	.82	1.29	.78	.40
T' ' 1 G					
Financial Summary:	÷ 15 015	06 010	24 604	21 880	00 000
Current assets	\$ 17,017	26,919	34,694	31,772	29,883
Current liabilities	\$ 20,982	20,165	18,232	18,095	22,367
Property and	+ 0.44 44.0	000 001	000 000	100 116	100 010
equipment, net	\$ 241,413	228,021	208,988	198,116	199,013
Total assets	\$ 287,093	275,705	266,390	257,712	256,854
Long-term debt	\$ 45,593	57,131	62,370	67,272	71,860
Shareholders' equity	\$192,646	174,718	164,447	152,056	142,408
Net Book Value	+ 00 14	10 =1	45 54	16.00	45 55
Per common share	\$ 20.14	18.51	17.71	16.39	15.55
Other Data:					
Weighted average common	0 500	0 000	2 224	0 100	0 105
shares - basic	9,523	9,360	9,284	9,182	9,125
Weighted average common					
shares - diluted	9,605	9,474	9,451	9,424	9,352
Number of employees	886	828	802	763	761
Shareholders of record	497	505	497	509	543

# Quarterly Results (unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

	Fir	st	Sec	Second		Third		rth
	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues	\$33,057	30,359	33,868	31,326	35,708	33,030	37,141	32,799
Operating profit	\$ 4,408	3,214	4,308	3,444	6,070	4,891	5,648	2,552
Income from continuin	g							
operations	\$ 3,123	2,125	2,271	1,639	3,002	2,676	6,989	1,271
Discontinued								
operations, net	\$ -	(1)	_	4	_	8	_	86
Net income	\$ 3,123	2,124	2,271	1,643	3,002	2,684	6,989	1,357
Earnings per common s	hare (a):							
Income from continui	ng operat	ions-						
Basic	\$ .33	.23	.24	.18	.31	.29	.73	.13
Diluted	\$ .33	.23	.24	.17	.31	.28	.72	.13
Discontinued operati	ons-							
Basic	\$ .00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.01
Diluted	\$ .00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.01
Net income-								

Basic	\$	.33	.23	.24	.18	.31	.29	.73	.14
Diluted	\$	.33	.23	.24	.17	.31	.28	.72	.14
Market price per	common	share	(b):						
High	\$	28.76	24.54	29.84	24.26	30.44	23.53	36.19	27.88
Low	\$	23.25	18.94	24.81	20.50	26.56	19.01	30.00	22.46

- (a) Earnings per share of common stock is computed independently for each quarter presented. The sum of the quarterly net earnings per share of common stock for a year may not equal the total for the year due to rounding differences.
- (b) All prices represent high and low daily closing prices as reported by The Nasdaq Stock Market.

# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

### Executive Overview

Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. (the Company) is a holding company engaged in the transportation and real estate businesses.

The Company's transportation business, Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc. is engaged in hauling primarily petroleum and other liquids and dry bulk commodities in tank trailers.

The Company's real estate business is operated through two subsidiaries: Florida Rock Properties, Inc. and FRP Development Corp. The Company owns real estate in Florida, Georgia, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware and Washington, D.C. The Company's real estate operations consist of two reportable segments. The Mining royalty land segment owns real estate including construction aggregate royalty sites and parcels held for investment. The Developed property rentals segment acquires, constructs, leases, and manages office/warehouse buildings primarily in the Baltimore/Northern Virginia/Washington area and holds real estate for future development or related to its developments.

The Company continues to evaluate the possibility of separating the real estate and transportation businesses into two separate public companies as part of the Company's long-term strategic planning.

Net income - Net income was \$15,385,000 or \$1.60 per diluted share in fiscal 2013, an increase of 97.0% compared to \$7,808,000 or \$.82 per diluted share in Transportation segment results were higher due to incremental fiscal 2012. profits on increased revenue, lower health insurance costs and higher gains on equipment sales partially offset by higher accident costs, increased vehicle repair costs, increased site maintenance, and increased sales, general and administrative expenses. Mining royalty land segment's results were higher due to new property royalties along with a shift in production at two locations increasing the share of mining on property owned by the Company partially offset by increased corporate expense allocation and lower timber sales. Developed property rentals segment's results were higher due to higher occupancy, new buildings added during the period, reduced severance costs, and the \$1,771,000 environmental remediation expense recorded in 2012, partially offset by higher property taxes. Diluted earnings per share increased to \$1.60 in fiscal 2013 from \$.82 in 2012, and were \$1.29 in 2011.

<u>Transportation</u>. The Company generates transportation revenue by providing over the road hauling services for customers primarily in the petroleum products industry (Tank Lines). The majority of our petroleum products customers are major oil companies and convenience store chains, who sell gasoline or diesel fuel directly to the retail market.

Our customers generally pay for services based on miles driven. We also bill for other services that may include stop-offs and pump-offs. Additionally, we generally bill customers a fuel surcharge that relates to the fluctuations in diesel fuel costs.

Miles hauled and rates per mile are the primary factors impacting transportation revenue. Changes in miles or rates will affect revenue. Operating results are impacted by our ability to recover fuel surcharges from customers. In light of the volatility of fuel prices, it may be difficult for us to recover fuel surcharges from customers at levels that will allow us to maintain current levels of profitability. Tank Lines primarily engages in short-haul out-and-back deliveries and generally is paid for round trip miles (approximately 100 miles).

Operating safely, efficient equipment utilization, appropriate freight rates, and driver retention are the most critical factors in maintaining profitable operations. Statistics related to these factors are monitored weekly and monthly. Operating expenses are generally split evenly between variable (driver pay, fuel, and maintenance) and fixed costs (overhead, insurance and depreciation). As a result, increases in revenue will generally improve our operating profit ratio.

Mining Royalty Land. The mining royalty land segment owns and seeks to acquire land with construction aggregates deposits, a substantial portion of which is leased to Vulcan Materials Company under long-term mining royalty agreements, whereby the Company is paid a percentage of the revenues generated or annual minimums. The segment also owns mining related land held for future appreciation or development. In May 2012 the Company acquired approximately 1,200 acres near Lake Louisa, Florida which is west of Orlando, Florida for a purchase price of \$11 million. The Company simultaneously executed a long-term royalty lease under which it receives a minimum monthly royalty payment until the tenant receives the necessary permits and begins mining sand. At September 30, 2013, the mining royalty land segment owned the following properties:

Locations currently being mined. The segment owns a total of 10,423 acres in Grandin, Keuka and Newberry, Florida, Columbus, Macon and Tyrone, Georgia and Manassas, Virginia. Tons sold in 2013 totaled 4,459,000 leaving estimated reserves of 336,885,000 tons.

Locations under royalty agreements but not currently being mined. The segment owns a total of 4,771 acres in Ft. Myers, Airgrove/Lake County, Marion County, Astatula/Lake County, and Lake Louisa, Florida, and Forest Park Georgia. Tons sold in 2013 totaled 25,000 leaving estimated reserves of 96,532,000 tons.

Investment Properties. The segment owns 1,923 acres of investment properties in Gulf Hammock, Brooksville, Palatka, and Polk County, Florida and Yatesville and Henderson, Georgia.

Brooksville Quarry LLC. On October 4, 2006, a subsidiary of the Company (FRP) entered into a Joint Venture Agreement with Vulcan Materials Company (formerly Florida Rock Industries, Inc.) to form Brooksville Quarry, LLC, a real estate joint venture to develop approximately 4,300 acres of land near Brooksville, Florida. Distributions will be made on a 50-50 basis except for royalties and depletion specifically allocated to FRP. In April 2011, the Florida Department

of Community Affairs issued its Final Order approving the development of the Project, and zoning for the Project was obtained in August 2012.

Discontinued Operation. In December 2010, a subsidiary of the Company, Florida Rock Properties, Inc., closed a bargain sale of approximately 1,777 acres of land in Caroline County, Virginia, to the Commonwealth of Virginia, Board of Game and Inland Fisheries. The purchase price for the property was \$5,200,000 and expenses of the sale were \$259,000. The Company also donated \$5,599,000 primarily for the value of minerals and aggregates and recognized a \$2,126,000 permanent tax benefit. The \$2,126,000 permanent tax benefit was recorded to income taxes receivable for \$303,000 and offset to long-term deferred tax liabilities of \$1,823,000. Actual realization of the \$1,823,000 in deferred taxes will depend on taxable income, income tax rates, and income tax regulations over the 5 year carry forward period. The Company's book value of the property was \$276,000. The Caroline County property has been accounted for as a discontinued operation and all periods presented have been restated accordingly. The Company used all the proceeds in a 1031 exchange to purchase Hollander 95 Business Park in a foreclosure sale auction through a qualified intermediary. Hollander 95 Business Park, in Baltimore City, Maryland, closed in October of 2010 by a 1031 intermediary for a purchase price totaling \$5,750,000. property consists of an existing 82,800 square foot warehouse building (100% occupied) with an additional 38 acres of partially developed land with a development capacity of 425,750 square feet (a mix of warehouse, office, hotel and flex buildings). An additional 5.38 acres of land at Hollander 95 Business Park was sold in August 2013.

Developed Property Rentals. The developed property rentals segment acquires, constructs, leases and manages land and commercial buildings in the Baltimore/Northern Virginia/Washington and Jacksonville, Florida areas.

Revenue from land and/or buildings is generated primarily from leasing our portfolio of flex office/warehouse buildings. Our flex office/warehouse product is a functional warehouse with the ability to configure portions as office space as required by our tenants. We lease space to tenants who generally sign multiple year agreements. Growth is achieved by increasing occupancy and lease rates in existing buildings and by developing or acquiring new warehouses. We attempt to develop or purchase properties in areas that have high growth potential and are accessible to major interstates or other distribution lanes.

Developed property rentals occupancy has increased from 88.7% to 89.6% over last fiscal year end as the market for new tenants continued to improve and traffic for vacant space has increased. Occupancy at September 30, 2013 and 2012 included 42,606 square feet or 1.3% and 98,993 square feet or 3.4% respectively for temporary space under less than full market lease rates. The Company resumed development of Patriot Business Park effective April 1, 2012 due to two developments. In February 2012, the Company signed an agreement to sell 15.18 acres of land at the site for a purchase price of \$4,774,577 and the sale was completed in July 2013. The Company also entered into a build to suit lease signed April 2012, for a 117,600 square foot building which was completed and occupied during the quarter ending March 31, 2013. In May 2013, the Company entered into a second build to suit lease, with the same tenant as the first, for a 125,500 square foot building which is currently under construction. In June 2013, the Company purchased Transit Business Park in Baltimore, Maryland which consists of 5 buildings on 14.5 acres totaling 232,318 square feet which are 87.9% occupied (including 2.3% for temporary leases and 7.1% for a holdover tenant).

The following table shows the total developed square footage and occupancy rates of our flex office/warehouse and office parks at September 30, 2013:

		Total	
<u>Development</u>	<u>Location</u>	Sq. feet	% Occupied
Hillside	Anne Arundel Co., MD	567,473	98.8%
Lakeside	Harford Co., MD	893,722	91.3%
Tudsbury	Baltimore Co., MD	86,100	100.0%
Dorsey Run	Howard Co., MD	85,100	100.0%
Rossville	Baltimore Co., MD	190,517	100.0%
Loveton	Baltimore Co., MD	33,708	81.4%
Oregon	Anne Arundel Co., MD	195,615	89.3%
Arundel	Howard Co., MD	162,796	91.6%
Interchange	New Castle Co., DE	303,006	49.4%
Azalea Garden	Norfolk, VA	188,093	100.0%
Windlass Run	Baltimore Co., MD	69,474	49.9%
21st Street	Duval Co., FL	68,757	100.0%
Hollander 95	Baltimore Co., MD	82,800	100.0%
Patriot Business Park	Prince William Co., VA	117,600	100.0%
Transit Business Park	Baltimore Co., MD	232,318	87.9%
		3,277,079	89.6%

Developed square feet increased by 349,918 or 12.0% from 2,927,161 at September 30, 2012 to 3,277,079 at September 30, 2013 due to the building constructed at Patriot Business Park and the purchase of Transit Business Park. Average occupancy in fiscal 2013 was 88.7% compared to 85.1% in fiscal 2012 and 78.6% in fiscal 2011. As of September 30, 2013, leases at our properties representing approximately 12%, 17%, 14%, 12% and 15% of the total square footage of buildings completed prior to September 2013 were scheduled to expire in fiscal year 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, respectively. There is currently vacant space in the portfolio. Leasing or renewing these spaces will be critical to future financial results.

In addition to the completed buildings there are approximately 129 acres in four separate distinct submarkets capable of holding up to 19 buildings totaling 1,594,406 square feet. This pipeline for future vertical construction is a major part of our business plan. The net book value of these properties at September 30, 2013 was \$29,680,000.

	Acres	Proposed Sq. Ft.	Comments
Under Construction: Patriot Business Park Bldg B	8	125,500	Under Construction
Developed Lots: Lakeside Business Park Windlass Run Business Park Patriot Business Park Hollander Business Park	20 26 37 38	386,626 390,000	Ready for building construction Ready for building construction Horizontal development ongoing Horizontal development ongoing

Additional Properties held for investment or future development include:

Windlass Run Residential (previously Bird River) phase 2, located in southeastern Baltimore County, Maryland, is a 74 acre tract of land adjacent to our Windlass Run Business Park. The property was rezoned in September 2007 to allow for additional density. In July 2008, the Company entered into an agreement to sell 121 acres at a purchase price of \$25,075,000 and closing was scheduled to occur in the first quarter of calendar 2012. The contract purchaser had placed non-

refundable deposits of \$1,000,000 under this contract in escrow. In October 2011 the contract purchaser terminated its agreement to purchase the property and released the \$1,000,000 escrow deposit to the company's subsidiary, FRP Bird River, LLC. along with all permits, engineering work, plans and other development work product with regards to the property. The Company continued the entitlement process for this parcel of land for residential development as a planned unit development (PUD) and was successful in receiving approval for up to 412 dwelling units. In September 2012, the Company received a non-binding letter of intent to sell the property for \$18.8 million in two phases. The letter of intent to sell the property was converted into 2 executed contracts that expired during the due diligence period. The Company executed two contracts on April 17, 2013 with another buyer for the sale of phase 1 of the property in the quarter ending September 30, 2013 for \$8.0 million and the balance for \$11.0 million approximately 18 months later. The sale of phase 1 was completed in August of 2013 and resulted in a gain of \$4,928,000.

The Company owns a 5.8 acre parcel of undeveloped real estate in Washington D.C. that fronts the Anacostia River and is adjacent to the Washington Nationals Baseball Park. The parcel was leased to a subsidiary of Vulcan Materials Company from 1986 through August 2011. In September 2011 Vulcan commenced a long term lease for a Company owned 2.1 acre tract which is nearby on the same bank of the Anacostia River. The approved planned unit development for the 5.8 acre parcel permits the Company to develop a four building, mixed use project, containing approximately 545,800 square feet of office and retail space and approximately 569,600 square feet of additional space for residential and hotel uses. The approved development would include numerous publicly accessible open spaces and a waterfront esplanade along the Anacostia River.

In March 30, 2012 the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement with MRP SE Waterfront Residential, LLC. ("MRP") to form a joint venture to develop the first phase only of the four phase master development known as RiverFront on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. The purpose of the Joint Venture is to develop, own, lease and possibly sell an approximately 300,000 square foot residential apartment building (including approximately 18,000 square feet of retail) on a portion of the roughly 5.82 acre site. The joint venture, Riverfront Investment Partners I, LLC ("Riverfront I") was formed in June 2013 as contemplated. The Company's cost of the property to be contributed of \$5,839,000 was transferred from Property, Plant and Equipment to Investment in joint ventures and is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. MRP will contribute capital of \$4,000,000 to the joint venture including development costs paid prior to formation of the joint venture. MRP will raise any additional equity capital and obtain a nonrecourse loan for the balance of the estimated construction and lease up costs. At this point the Company anticipates commencement of construction of Phase I in mid 2014 with lease up scheduled between late 2015 and all of 2016. The Company's equity interest in the joint venture will be determined based on leverage of the entity, additional cash contributions by the Company, and negotiations with potential third partners.

Leister property in Hampstead, Carroll County, Maryland is a 117 acre parcel located adjacent to State Route 30 bypass. The parcel is currently zoned for industrial use. Alternative uses are being investigated in order to maximize this assets' profitability and expedite it's disposition.

## COMPARATIVE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Transportation

	Fiscal Years ended September 30								
(dollars in thousands)	2013	%	2012	%	2011	%			
Transportation revenue Fuel surcharges	\$ 93,227 18,893	83% 17%	85,060 18,416	82% 18%	80,128 17,673	82% 18%			
Revenues	112,120	100%	103,476	100%	97,801	100%			
Compensation and benefits Fuel expenses Insurance and losses Depreciation expense Other, net Sales, general & administrative Allocated corporate expenses Gain on equipment sales	40,095 25,699 7,544 7,202 13,117 8,789 1,765 (1,445)	36% 23% 7% 6% 12% 8% 1% (1%)	36,875 24,174 7,498 6,577 12,330 8,166 1,631 (1,251)	36% 23% 7% 6% 12% 8% 2% (1%)	34,811 22,405 7,091 6,154 10,265 7,795 1,574 (322)	36% 23% 7% 6% 10% 8% 2%			
Cost of operations	102,766	92%	96,000	93%	89,773	92%			
Operating profit	\$ 9,354	<u>8</u> %	7,476		8,028	<u>8</u> %			

Revenues 2013 vs 2012 - Transportation segment revenues were \$112,120,000 in 2013, an increase of \$8,644,000 or 8.4% over 2012. Revenue miles in fiscal 2013 were up 7.3% compared to 2012 due to business growth and a slightly longer average haul length. Revenue per mile increased 1.0% over 2012 due to rate increases. Fuel surcharge revenue increased \$477,000 due to higher fuel costs partially offset by changes to certain customer rates to incorporate fuel surcharges into base rates. The average price paid per gallon of diesel fuel increased by \$.01 or .4% over 2012. There is a time lag between changes in fuel prices and surcharges and often fuel costs change more rapidly than the market indexes used to determine fuel surcharges. Excluding fuel surcharges, revenue per mile increased 2.0% over 2012.

Revenues 2012 vs 2011 - Transportation segment revenues were \$103,476,000 in 2012, an increase of \$5,675,000 or 5.8% over 2011. Revenue miles in fiscal 2012 were up 2.1% compared to 2011 due to business growth and a slightly longer average haul length. Revenue per mile increased 3.5% over 2011 due to rate increases and higher fuel surcharges. Fuel surcharge revenue increased \$743,000 due to higher fuel costs partially offset by changes to certain customer rates to incorporate fuel surcharges into base rates. The average price paid per gallon of diesel fuel increased by \$.27 or 7.9% over 2011. There is a time lag between changes in fuel prices and surcharges and often fuel costs change more rapidly than the market indexes used to determine fuel surchanges. Excluding fuel surcharges, revenue per mile increased 4.3% over 2011.

Expenses 2013 vs 2012 - The Transportation segment's cost of operations was \$102,766,000 in 2013, an increase of \$6,766,000 over 2012. The Transportation segment's cost of operations in 2013 as a percentage of revenue was 92% versus 93% in 2012. Compensation and benefits increased \$3,220,000 or 8.7% in 2013 primarily due to the increase in miles driven and a driver pay increase. Fuel costs increased by \$1,525,000 due to the increase in miles driven and higher cost per gallon. Insurance and losses increased \$46,000 compared to 2012 due primarily to higher accident and workers compensation claims partially offset by lower health insurance claims. Depreciation expense increased \$625,000 due to more trucks in service and higher cost of new equipment. Other expense increased \$787,000 due to increased miles driven, higher vehicle repair costs, increased site maintenance, and increased tire prices. Selling general and administrative costs increased \$623,000 or 7.6% compared to 2012 due to severance costs and increased bonus compensation. Allocated corporate expenses increased \$134,000.

Gains on equipment sales increased \$194,000 in 2013 due to increased sales of tractors.

Expenses 2012 vs 2011 - The Transportation segment's cost of operations was \$96,000,000 in 2012, an increase of \$6,227,000 over 2011. The Transportation segment's cost of operations in 2012 as a percentage of revenue was 93% versus 92% in 2011. Compensation and benefits increased \$2,064,000 or 5.9% in 2012 primarily due to a driver pay increase, the increase in miles driven and expenses associated with increased driver hiring. Fuel costs increased by \$1,769,000 due to higher cost per gallon. Insurance and losses increased \$407,000 compared to 2011 due to increases in workers compensation and health insurance claims mostly offset by a reduction in liability insurance as the prior year included two severe non-preventable incidents in the last four months of fiscal 2011. Depreciation expense increased \$423,000 due to more trucks in service. expense increased \$2,065,000 due to higher vehicle repair costs, increased tire prices, increased miles driven and growth initiatives. A larger than average number of tractors was purchased prior to 2007 engine changes required by the EPA. In fiscal 2012 the average age of the fleet hit a peak and that combined with problems with transition trucks purchased from 2007 to 2010 resulted in a larger than expected maintenance cost. Selling general and administrative costs increased \$371,000 or 4.8% compared to 2011 due to increased staffing and professional fees. Allocated corporate expenses increased \$57,000. Gains on equipment sales increased \$929,000 in 2012 due to increased sales of tractors and trailers and higher sales value on used equipment.

## Mining Royalty Land

	Fiscal Years ended September 30							
(dollars in thousands)		2013	%	2012	%	2011	%	
Mining royalty land revenue	\$	5,302	100%	4,483	100%	4,261	100%	
Property operating expenses Depreciation and depletion Management company indirect Allocated corporate expense		478 106 (21) 731	9% 2% 0% 13%	468 112 (2) 674	10% 3% 0% 15%	492 111 151 650	12% 3% 3% 15%	
Cost of operations		1,294	24%	1,252	28%	1,404	33%	
Operating profit	\$	4,008	<u>76</u> % §	\$ 3,231	72% \$	2,857	<u>67</u> %	

Revenues 2013 vs 2012 - Mining royalty land segment revenues for fiscal 2013 were \$5,302,000, an increase of \$819,000 or 18.3% compared to \$4,483,000 in 2012 due to royalties on new property purchased in May 2012 along with a shift in production at two locations increasing the share of mining on properties owned by the Company partially offset by lower timber sales.

Revenues 2012 vs 2011 - Mining royalty land segment revenues for fiscal 2012 were \$4,483,000, an increase of \$222,000 or 5.2% compared to \$4,261,000 in 2011 due to new property royalties and higher timber sales partially offset by production at two locations reducing the share of mining on the property owned by the Company.

Expenses 2013 vs 2012 - The mining royalty land segment's cost of operations increased \$42,000 to \$1,294,000 in 2013, compared to \$1,252,000 in 2012, primarily due to the \$57,000 increase in allocated corporate expenses offset by a \$19,000 decrease in indirect management company costs to this segment.

Expenses 2012 vs 2011 - The mining royalty land segment's cost of operations decreased \$152,000 to \$1,252,000 in 2012, compared to \$1,404,000 in 2011,

primarily due to reduced allocation of indirect management company costs to this segment. Allocated corporate expenses increased \$24,000.

## Developed Property Rentals

	Fiscal Years ended September 30							
(dollars in thousands)	2013	ું જ	2012	%	2011	%		
Developed property rentals revenue	\$ 22,352	100%	19,555	100%	18,044	100%		
Property operating expenses	5,456	25%	4,879	25%	5,682	32%		
Depreciation and amortization	5,874	26%	5,633	29%	5,126	28%		
Environmental remediation	-	0%	1,771	9%	-	0%		
Management company indirect	1,595	7%	1,775	9%	1,362	8%		
Allocated corporate expense	1,094	<u>      5</u> %	1,012	<u>     5</u> %	975	<u>      5</u> %		
Cost of operations	14,019	63%	15,070	<u>77</u> %	13,145	<u>73</u> %		
Operating profit	\$ 8,333	<u>37</u> % §	\$ 4,485	23%	\$ 4,899	<u>27</u> %		

Revenues 2013 vs 2012 - Developed property rentals segment revenues increased \$2,797,000 or 14.3% in 2013 to \$22,352,000 due to higher occupancy, revenue on a 117,600 square foot build to suit building completed and occupied during the period and revenue on the purchase of Transit Business Park which consists of 5 buildings. Occupancy at September 30, 2013 was 89.6% as compared to 88.7% at September 30, 2012.

On June 20, 2013 the Company purchased, through a qualified intermediary, Transit Business Park in Baltimore, Maryland which consists of 5 buildings on 14.5 acres totaling 232,318 square feet which were 87.9% occupied (including 2.3% for temporary leases and 7.1% for holdover tenant). This purchase was completed as a forward 1031 exchange with the sale of phase 1 of Windlass Run Residential.

Revenues 2012 vs 2011 - Developed property rentals segment revenues increased \$1,511,000 or 8.4% in 2012 to \$19,555,000 due to higher occupancy. Occupancy at September 30, 2012 was 88.7% as compared to 79.8% at September 30, 2011.

Expenses 2013 vs 2012 - Developed property segment's cost of operations decreased to \$14,019,000 in 2013, compared to \$15,070,000 in 2012. Property operating expenses increased \$577,000 due to higher property taxes, snow removal costs and higher occupancy. Depreciation and amortization increased \$241,000 due to the newly completed build to suit and the purchase of Transit Business Park reduced by a certain tenant improvements becoming fully depreciated. The Company recorded an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 of \$1,771,000 for an environmental remediation liability pertaining to phase I of the Riverfront development of property on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. The actual expense may be materially higher or lower depending upon the determined responsibility of the prior tenant, our ability to collect from such prior tenant and actual costs incurred. Management Company indirect expenses (excluding internal allocations for lease related property management fees) decreased \$180,000 due to the prior year including severance costs along with high health insurance claims. Allocated corporate expenses increased \$82,000.

Expenses 2012 vs 2011 - Developed property segment's cost of operations increased to \$15,070,000 in 2012, compared to \$13,145,000 in 2011. Property operating expenses decreased \$803,000 due to lower real estate taxes and snow removal costs partially offset by higher maintenance costs and professional fees. Depreciation and amortization increased \$507,000 primarily due to tenant improvements. The Company recorded an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 of \$1,771,000

for an environmental remediation liability pertaining to phase I of the Riverfront development of property on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. The actual expense may be materially higher or lower depending upon the determined responsibility of the prior tenant, our ability to collect from such prior tenant and actual costs incurred. Management Company indirect expenses (excluding internal allocations for lease related property management fees) increased \$413,000 due to increased allocation to this segment and higher costs which resulted from a separation agreement along with high health insurance claims for the year. Allocated corporate expenses increased \$37,000.

## Consolidated Results

Operating Profit - Consolidated operating profit was \$20,434,000 in fiscal 2013 compared to \$14,101,000 in 2012, an increase of 44.9%. Operating profit in the transportation segment increased \$1,878,000 or 25.1% due to incremental profits on increased revenue, lower health insurance costs and higher gains on equipment sales partially offset by higher accident costs, increased vehicle repair costs, increased site maintenance, and increased sales, general and administrative expenses. Operating profit in the mining royalty land segment increased \$777,000 or 24.0% due to royalties on a new property purchased in May 2012 along with a shift in production at two locations increasing the share of mining on property owned by the Company partially offset by increased corporate expense allocation Operating profit in the Developed property rentals and lower timber sales. segment increased \$3,848,000 or 85.8% primarily due to higher occupancy, the 117,600 square foot build to suit building completed and occupied during the period, reduced severance and health insurance costs, and the \$1,771,000 environmental remediation expense recorded in 2012, partially offset by higher property taxes. Consolidated operating profit includes corporate expenses not allocated to any segment in the amount of \$1,261,000 in fiscal 2013, an increase of \$170,000 compared to 2012. Consolidated operating profit was \$14,101,000 in 2012 compared to \$14,369,000 in 2011 a decrease of 1.9%.

Gain on termination of sale contract - Fiscal 2012 includes a gain of \$1,039,000 on the receipt of non-refundable deposits related to the termination of an agreement to sell the Company's Windlass Run Residential property.

Gain on investment land sold - Fiscal 2013 includes total gains on investment land sold of \$7,333,000. Income from continuing operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2013 included a gain on the sale of the developed property rentals Commonwealth property in Jacksonville, Florida, of \$1,116,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$723,000. In July 2013 the Company sold 15.18 acres of land at Patriot Business Park resulting in a gain of \$341,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$3,603,000. In August 2013 the Company sold 5.38 acres of land at Hollander 95 Business Park and recorded a gain before income taxes of \$514,000. The book value of the property was \$595,000. In August 2103 the Company sold phase 1 of the Windlass Run Residential property and recorded a gain of \$4,928,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$2,971,000. In August 2013 the Company sold 284 acres of Gulf Hammock mining property and recorded a gain of \$433,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$2,971,000.

Interest income and other - Interest income and other in fiscal 2013 increased \$12,000 due primarily to funds received in consideration for the conveyance of easement property.

Interest expense - Interest expense for fiscal 2013 decreased \$118,000 over 2012 due to declining mortgage interest expense and higher capitalized interest partially offset by the accelerated prepayment of long-term debt. On June 3, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$7,281,000 remaining principal balance on a 6.12%

mortgage under an early prepayment provision the note allowed after 7.5 years. The \$561,000 cost of the prepayment included a penalty of \$382,000 and the remaining deferred loan costs of \$175,000. On July 31, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$279,000 remaining principal balance on a 7.97% mortgage. The cost of the prepayment included a penalty of \$7,000. The amount of interest capitalized on real estate projects under development was \$217,000 higher than the same period in fiscal 2012 primarily due to resumed development of Patriot Business Park in April 2012.

Income taxes - Income tax expense for 2013 increased \$5,028,000 over 2012 due to higher earnings from continuing operations. Income tax expense for 2012 increased \$511,000 over 2011 due to higher earnings from continuing operations.

Income from continuing operations - Income from continuing operations was \$15,385,000 or \$1.60 per diluted share in 2013, an increase of 99.5% compared to \$7,711,000 or \$.81 per diluted share in 2012. The \$7,674,000 increase was due to the \$6,900,000 gain on the sale of investment land, the \$6,333,000 increase in operating profits, the \$433,000 gain on the sale of mining land, offset by the increase in income taxes and a 2012 pretax gain of \$1,039,000 on the receipt of non-refundable deposits related to the termination of an agreement to sell the Company's Windlass Run Residential property. Income from continuing operations was \$7,711,000 or \$.81 per diluted share in 2012, an increase of 10.3% compared to \$6,989,000 or \$.74 per diluted share in 2011.

Discontinued operations - The after tax income from discontinued operations was \$97,000 or \$.01 per diluted share in fiscal 2012.

Net income - Net income was \$15,385,000 or \$1.60 per diluted share in fiscal 2013, an increase of 97.0% compared to \$7,808,000 or \$.82 per diluted share in Transportation segment results were higher due to incremental fiscal 2012. profits on increased revenue, lower health insurance costs and higher gains on equipment sales partially offset by higher accident costs, increased vehicle repair costs, increased site maintenance, and increased sales, general and administrative expenses. Mining royalty land segment's results were higher due to new property royalties along with a shift in production at two locations increasing the share of mining on property owned by the Company partially offset by increased corporate expense allocation and lower timber sales. Developed property rentals segment's results were higher due to higher occupancy, new buildings added during the period, reduced severance costs, and the \$1,771,000 environmental remediation expense recorded in 2012, partially offset by higher property taxes. Diluted earnings per share increased to \$1.60 in fiscal 2013 from \$.82 in 2012, and were \$1.29 in 2011.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The growth of the Company's businesses requires significant cash needs. The Company expects to meet short-term liquidity requirements generally through working capital, net cash provided by operations, and, if necessary, borrowings on its unsecured revolving credit facility. The Company intends to meet long-term funding requirements for transportation equipment and acquisitions, property acquisitions and development, debt service, and share repurchases through net cash from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, including borrowings under its unsecured revolving credit facility, and proceeds from sales of strategically identified assets.

For fiscal 2013, the Company used cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations of \$27,126,000, proceeds from the sale of plant, property and equipment of \$17,708,000, borrowings of \$7,300,000 under the Revolver,

proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options of \$1,186,000, excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options of \$701,000 and cash balances to purchase \$15,612,000 in transportation equipment, to expend \$22,936,000 in real estate development, to invest \$116,000 in joint ventures, to make \$12,466,000 in payments on long-term debt, to make payments of \$7,300,000 under the Revolver and to repurchase Company stock for \$233,000. Cash held in escrow was \$1,569,000. Cash decreased \$6,211,000.

Cash flows from operating activities for fiscal 2013 were \$6,642,000 higher than the same period last year primarily due higher operating profits and deferred income taxes. Deferred income taxes increased primarily due to bonus depreciation on transportation equipment and 1031 exchanges of real estate. Cash paid for prepaid insurance was lower due to deferral of premiums for the upcoming year. Accounts payable increased due to the timing of payments for vehicles and construction in progress. Accrued insurance liabilities decreased due to payments to our new insurer under a captive agreement along with payment in settlement of three unusually large prior year liability and health claims.

Cash flows used in investing activities for fiscal 2013 were \$9,131,000 lower than the same period last year primarily reflecting the sale of investment land offset by the increased purchase of transportation equipment for growth and replacement and the cash held in escrow related to the sale of investment land.

Cash flows used in financing activities for fiscal 2013 were \$7,671,000 higher than fiscal 2012 due to the accelerated repayment on long-term debt. On June 3, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$7,281,000 remaining principal balance on a 6.12% mortgage under an early prepayment provision the note allowed after 7.5 years. The \$561,000 cost of the prepayment included a penalty of \$382,000 and the remaining deferred loan costs of \$175,000. On July 31, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$279,000 remaining principal balance on a 7.97% mortgage. The cost of the prepayment included a penalty of \$7,000.

For fiscal 2012, the Company used cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations of \$20,307,000, proceeds from the sale of plant, property and equipment of \$2,817,000, proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options of \$1,322,000, excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options of \$754,000 and cash balances to purchase \$10,459,000 in transportation equipment, to expend \$12,850,000 in real estate development, to expend \$11,039,000 on mining royalty land, to invest \$125,000 in the Brooksville Joint Venture, to make \$4,902,000 scheduled principal payments on long-term debt and to repurchase Company stock for \$315,000. Cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations was \$177,000. Cash decreased \$14,313,000. Cash flows from operating activities for fiscal 2012 were \$117,000 lower than the same period in 2011 primarily due to increased income tax payments. Cash flows used in investing activities for fiscal 2012 were \$20,053,000 higher than in fiscal 2011 primarily reflecting increased purchase of transportation equipment for growth and replacement, the purchase of mining royalty land of \$11,039,000 and lower proceeds on notes receivable partially offset by a pretax gain of \$1,039,000 on the receipt of non-refundable deposits related to the termination of an agreement to sell the Company's Windlass Run Residential property. Cash flows used in financing activities for fiscal 2012 were \$1,982,000 lower than fiscal 2011 due to lower repurchases of Company stock and higher stock options exercised.

On December 21, 2012, the Company entered into a modified credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement modifies the Company's prior Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement with Wachovia Bank, National Association ("Wachovia"), Bank of America, N.A., SunTrust Bank, and Compass Bank dated as of November 10, 2004. The Credit Agreement is for a 5 year term with a maximum facility amount of \$55 million. The Credit Agreement

provides a revolving credit facility (the "Revolver") with a maximum facility amount of \$40 million, with a \$20 million sublimit for standby letters of credit, and a term loan facility of \$15 million. The Credit Agreement contains certain conditions, affirmative financial covenants and negative covenants including limitations on paying cash dividends. Letters of credit in the amount of \$5,737,000 were issued under the Revolver. As of September 30, 2013, \$49,263,000 was available for borrowing under the Revolver and \$66,885,000 of consolidated retained earnings would be available for payment of dividends. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of September 30, 2013.

The Company had \$5,737,000 of irrevocable letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2013. Most of the letters of credit are irrevocable for a period of one year and are automatically extended for additional one-year periods until notice of non-renewal is received by the issuing bank not less than thirty days before the expiration date. These were issued for insurance retentions and to guarantee certain obligations to state agencies related to real estate development. The Company issued replacement letters of credit through the Revolver to reduce fees.

The Board of Directors has authorized management to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock from time to time as opportunities may arise. During fiscal 2013 the Company repurchased 8,700 shares for \$233,000. During fiscal 2012 the Company repurchased 15,908 shares for \$315,000. At September 30, 2013 the Company had \$3,682,000 authorized for future repurchases of common stock. The Company does not currently pay any cash dividends on common stock.

The Company reached an agreement with the District of Columbia in November 2011 concerning the assessed value of the Company's property resulting in a credit due to the Company. The taxes previously paid relating to the property were capitalized to the cost of the land as we are actively pursuing development of the property. The entry to record this agreement was to establish a receivable for the taxes and to credit the cost of the land in the amount of \$2,311,000. It is anticipated that the remaining \$1,576,000 receivable as of September 30, 2013 including interest will be collected in fiscal 2014.

The Company currently expects its fiscal 2014 capital expenditures to be approximately \$28,681,000 (\$16,438,000 for real estate development expansion, \$12,243,000 for transportation segment expansion and replacement equipment). Depreciation and depletion expense is expected to be approximately \$14,163,000. In addition, the Company's transportation segment acquired certain assets of Pipeline Transportation, Inc. on November 7, 2013. Pipeline's operations have been conducted in the Florida and Alabama markets also served by Florida Rock and Tank Lines, Inc. For the twelve month period ending June 30, 2013, Pipeline had gross revenues of just over \$16,500,000.

The Company expects that cash flows from operating activities, secured financing on existing and planned real estate projects, cash on hand and the funds available under its revolving credit agreement will be adequate to finance these capital expenditures and its working capital needs for the next 12 months and the foreseeable future.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Except for the letters of credit described above under "Liquidity and Capital Resources," the Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements that either have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on its financial condition.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management of the Company considers the following accounting policies critical to the reported operations of the Company:

Accounts Receivable and Unrealized Rents Valuation. The Company is subject to customer credit risk that could affect the collection of outstanding accounts receivable and unrealized rents, that is rents recorded on a straight-lined basis. To mitigate these risks, the Company performs credit reviews on all new customers and periodic credit reviews on existing customers. A detailed analysis of late and slow pay customers is prepared monthly and reviewed by senior management. The overall collectibility of outstanding receivables and straight-lined rents is evaluated and allowances are recorded as appropriate. Significant changes in customer credit could require increased allowances and affect cash flows.

**Property and Equipment and Goodwill.** Property and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and depletion. Provision for depreciation of property, plant and equipment is computed using the straight-line method based on the following estimated useful lives:

	Years
Buildings and improvements	7-39
Revenue equipment	7-10
Other equipment	3-10

Depletion of sand and stone deposits is computed on the basis of units of production in relation to estimated reserves.

The Company periodically reviews property and equipment for potential impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable. The review of real estate group assets consists of comparing cap rates on recent cash flows and market value estimates to the carrying values of each asset group. If this review indicates the carrying value might exceed fair value then an estimate of future cash flows for the remaining useful life of each property is prepared considering anticipated vacancy, lease rates, and any future capital expenditures. The Company's estimated holding period for developed buildings with current vacancies is long enough that the undiscounted cash flows exceed the carrying value of the properties and thus no impairment loss is recorded. The review of the transportation segment assets consists of a review of future anticipated results considering business prospects and asset utilization. If the sum of these future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the assets, the Company would record an impairment loss based on the fair value of the assets with the fair value of the assets generally based upon an estimate of the discounted future cash flows expected with regards to the assets and their eventual disposition as the measure of fair value. The Company performs an annual impairment test on goodwill. Changes in estimates or assumptions could have an impact on the Company's financials.

All direct and indirect costs, including interest and real estate taxes, associated with the development, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate investments are capitalized as a development cost of the property. Included in indirect costs is an estimate of internal costs associated with development and rental of real estate investments. Changes in estimates or assumptions could have an impact on the Company's financials.

Risk Insurance. The nature of the Transportation business subjects the Company to risks arising from workers' compensation, automobile liability, and general

liability claims. The Company retains the exposure on certain claims of \$250,000 to \$500,000 and has third party coverage for amounts exceeding the retention up to the amount of the policy limits. The Company expenses during the year an estimate of risk insurance losses. Periodically, an analysis is performed, using historical and projected data, to determine exposure for claims incurred and reported but not yet settled and for claims incurred but not reported. least an annual basis the Company obtains an independent actuarial analysis to assist in estimating the losses expected on such claims. The Company attempts to mitigate losses from insurance claims by maintaining safe operations and providing mandatory safety training. Significant changes in assumptions or claims history could have a material impact on our operations. The liability at any point in time depends upon the relative ages and amounts of the individual open claims. There is a reasonable possibility that the Company's estimate of this liability for the transportation segment or discontinued operations may be understated or overstated but the possible range can not be estimated. Accrued insurance liabilities decreased due to payments to our new insurer under a captive agreement along with payment in settlement of three unusually large prior year liability and health claims. Payments under the captive agreement are for the fiscal 2013 year-to-date loss fund as estimated in advance using actuarial methodology. The captive agreement provides that we will share in the underwriting results, good or bad, within a \$250,000 per occurrence layer of loss through retrospective premium adjustments.

Income Taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset-and-liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities represent items that will result in taxable income or a tax deduction in future years for which the related tax expense or benefit has already been recorded in our statement of earnings. Deferred tax accounts arise as a result of timing differences between when items are recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements compared with when they are recognized in the tax returns. The Company assesses the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income. To the extent recovery is not probable, a valuation allowance is established and included as an expense as part of our income tax provision. No valuation allowance was recorded at September 30, 2013, as all deferred tax assets are considered more likely than Significant judgment is required in determining and not to be realized. assessing the impact of complex tax laws and certain tax-related contingencies on the provision for income taxes. As part of the calculation of the provision for income taxes, we assess whether the benefits of our tax positions are at least more likely than not of being sustained upon audit based on the technical merits of the tax position. For tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained upon audit, we accrue the largest amount of the benefit that is more likely than not of being sustained in our consolidated financial statements. Such accruals require estimates and judgments, whereby actual results could vary materially from these estimates. Further, a number of years may elapse before a particular matter, for which an established accrual was made, is audited and resolved.

# CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of September 30, 2013:

		Payments due by period						
			Less than	1-3	3-5	More than		
	_	Total	1 year	years	years	5 years		
Mortgages Including Interest Operating Leases	\$	68,552	7,507 459	14,244 571	13,424 532	33,377 1,484		
Purchase Commitments Other Long-Term Liabilities		9,955 517	9,945 <u>85</u>	10 33	37	362		

As of September 30, 2013 the Company was committed to make an additional capital contribution of up to \$58,000 to Brooksville Quarry, LLC in connection with a joint venture with Vulcan (see Transactions with Vulcan Materials Company) which is not included in the table above.

### INFLATION

Historically, the Company has been able to recover inflationary cost increases in the transportation segment through increased freight rates and fuel surcharges. It is expected that over time, justifiable and necessary rate increases will be obtained. Substantially all of the Company's royalty agreements are based on a percentage of the sales price of the related mined items. Minimum royalties and substantially all lease agreements provide escalation provisions.

#### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain matters discussed in this report contain forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, capital expenditures, liquidity, capital resources and competition and may be indicated by words or phrases such as "anticipate", "estimate", "plans", "projects", "continuing", "ongoing", "expects", "management believes", "the Company believes", "the Company intends" and similar words or phrases. The following factors and others discussed in the Company's periodic reports and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission are among the principal factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements: freight demand for petroleum products including recessionary and terrorist impacts on travel in the Company's markets; levels of construction activity in the markets served by our mining properties; fuel costs and the Company's ability to recover fuel surcharges; accident severity and frequency; risk insurance markets; driver availability and cost; the impact of future regulations regarding the transportation industry; availability and terms of financing; competition; interest rates, inflation and general economic conditions; demand for flexible warehouse/office facilities in the Baltimore-Washington-Northern Virginia area; and ability to obtain zoning and entitlements necessary for property development. However, this list is not a complete statement of all potential risks or uncertainties.

These forward-looking statements are made as of the date hereof based on management's current expectations, and the Company does not undertake an obligation to update such statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Additional information regarding these and other risk factors may be found in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K and other filings made from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME - Years ended September 30
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	2013	2012	2011
Revenues:			
Transportation	\$ 112,120	103,476	97,801
Mining royalty land	5,302	4,483	4,261
Developed property rentals	22,352	19,555	18,044
Total revenues	139,774	127,514	120,106
Cost of operations:			
Transportation	102,766	96,000	89,773
Mining royalty land	1,294	1,252	1,404
Developed property rentals	14,019	15,070	13,145
Unallocated corporate	1,261	1,091	1,415
Total cost of operations	119,340	113,413	105,737
Operating profit:			
Transportation	9,354	7,476	8,028
Mining royalty land	4,008	3,231	2,857
Developed property rentals	8,333	4,485	4,899
Unallocated corporate	(1,261)	(1,091)	(1,415)
Total operating profit	20,434	14,101	14,369
Gain on termination of sale contract	_	1,039	_
Gain on investment land sold	7,333	_	_
Interest income and other	38	26	303
Equity in loss of joint ventures	(63)	(8)	(39)
Interest expense	(2,520)	(2,638)	(3,346)
Income before income taxes	25,222	12,520	11,287
Provision for income taxes	9,837	4,809	4,298
Income from continuing operations	15,385	7,711	6,989
Gain from discontinued operations,			
net		97	5,222
Net income	\$ 15,385	7,808	12,211
Earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations -			
Basic	1.62	0.82	0.75
Diluted	1.60	0.81	0.74
Discontinued operations -			
Basic	0.00	0.01	0.57
Diluted	0.00	0.01	0.55
Net Income -			
Basic	1.62	0.83	1.32
Diluted	1.60	0.82	1.29
Number of weighted average shares (in	thousands) used	in computing:	
-basic earnings per common share	9,523	9,360	9,284
-diluted earnings per common share	9,605	9,474	9,451
3.1	,	•	, -

See accompanying notes.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - Years ended September 30
(In thousands)

	2013	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 15,385	7,808	12,211
Other comp. income (loss) net of tax:			
Actuarial gain (loss) retiree health	_	(6)	3
Minimum pension liability	6	7	8
Comprehensive income	\$ 15,391	7,809	12,222

See accompanying notes.

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS - As of September 30

(In thousands, except share data)

(In thousands, except share data)			
		2013	2012
Assets			
Current assets:	Ċ	F02	6 713
Cash and cash equivalents Cash held in escrow	\$	502 1,569	6,713
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful		1,309	
accounts of \$162 and \$129, respectively)		7,707	7,019
Real estate tax refund receivable		1,576	2,311
Federal and state income taxes receivable		_	426
Inventory of parts and supplies		881	843
Prepaid tires on equipment		1,871	1,631
Prepaid taxes and licenses		2,223	2,050
Prepaid insurance Prepaid expenses, other		609 79	2,371 70
Real estate held for sale, at cost			3,485
Total current assets		17,017	26,919
Total cultent assets	-	17,017	20,919
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:			
Land		111,055	114,739
Buildings		151,812 86,410	138,601 79,556
Equipment Construction in progress		7,058	5,806
Constitution in progress		356,335	338,702
Less accumulated depreciation and depletion		114,922	110,681
Lebb accumulated depreciation and deprecion		241,413	228,021
		211,113	220,021
Real estate held for investment, at cost		4,343	3,640
Investment in joint ventures		13,406	7,521
Goodwill		1,087	1,087
Unrealized rents		4,659	4,155
Other assets	-	5,168	4,362
Total assets	\$	287,093	275,705
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$	7,290	5,266
Deferred income taxes		127	58
Federal and state income taxes payable		475	— Г 1 <i>С</i> 4
Accrued payroll and benefits Accrued insurance		6,008 1,285	5,164 3,249
Accrued liabilities, other		1,486	1,189
Long-term debt due within one year		4,311	5,239
Total current liabilities		20,982	20,165
		<u> </u>	
Long-term debt, less current portion		45,593	57,131
Deferred income taxes		22,567	18,199
Accrued insurance		1,133	1,659
Other liabilities Commitments and contingencies (Notes 12 and 13)		4,172	3,833
Shareholders' equity:		_	_
Preferred stock, no par value;			
5,000,000 shares authorized; none issued			
Common stock, \$.10 par value;			
25,000,000 shares authorized; 9,564,220 and 9,440,620			
shares issued and outstanding, respectively		956	944
Capital in excess of par value		44,258	41,539
Retained earnings		147,394	132,203
Accumulated other comprehensive income, net	-	38	174 710
Total shareholders' equity Total liabilities and shareholders' equity		192,646 287,093	174,718 275,705
	:	201,093	2/3,/05
See accompanying notes			

# **CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS -** Years ended September 30 (In thousands)

(III dildaballab)		2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:				
	\$	15,385	7,808	12,211
Adjustments to reconcile net income to				
net cash provided by continuing operating activities:		14 065	12 004	10 010
Depreciation, depletion and amortization Deferred income taxes		14,065 4,437	12,994 1,539	12,210 491
Equity in loss of joint ventures		63	8	39
Gain on sale of equipment and property		(8,889)	(2,332)	(363)
Income from discontinued operations, net		_	(97)	(5,222)
Stock-based compensation		883	701	704
Net changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable		47	(317)	(762)
Inventory of parts and supplies		(38)	278	(456)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		1,340	(685)	(131)
Other assets Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		(1,595) 867	(1,899) 1,572	(125) 1,290
Income taxes payable and receivable		901	(333)	1,290
Long-term insurance liabilities and other		201	(333)	1,110
long-term liabilities		(340)	1,070	217
Net cash provided by operating activities of	_			
continuing operations		27,126	20,307	21,243
Net cash provided by (used in) operating				
activities of discontinued operations			177	(642)
Net cash provided by operating activities		27,126	20,484	20,601
Cash flows from investing activities:				
·		(15,61		
Purchase of transportation group property and equipment		2)	(10,459)	(6,743)
Investments in developed property rentals segment		(22,936)	(12,850)	(11,129)
Investments in mining royalty land segment		_	(11,039)	_
Investment in joint ventures		(116)	(125)	(114)
Cash held in escrow  Proceeds from the sale of real estate held for		(1,569)	_	_
investment, property, plant and equipment		17,708	2,817	763
Proceeds received from Notes Receivable			2,017	5,620
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(22,525)	(31,656)	(11,603)
Cash flows from financing activities:		(10 466)	(4 000)	(4 500)
Repayment of long-term debt Repurchase of Company Stock		(12,466) (233)	(4,902) (315)	(4,588) (1,395)
Proceeds from borrowing on revolving credit facility		7,300	(313)	(1,393)
Payment on revolving credit facility		(7,300)	_	_
Excess tax benefits from exercises of stock		(		
options and vesting of restricted stock		701	754	322
Exercise of employee stock options		1,186	1,322	538
Net cash used in financing activities		(10,812)	(3,141)	(5,123)
Net (decrease)increase in cash and cash equivalents		(6,211)	(14,313)	3,875
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		6,713	21,026	17,151
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year	\$	502	6,713	21,026
Supplemental digglegures of such flow information.				
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: Cash paid during the year for:				
	\$	2,520	2,638	3,346
	\$	3,803	3,717	2,411
		- , - 3 5	-,	-, - <b></b>

The Company recorded non-cash transactions in 2012 for a \$2,311 receivable on previously capitalized real estate taxes on the Anacostia property and in fiscal 2011 from an exchange of real estate of \$4,941 along with a related deferred tax liability of \$1,792 and a \$2,126 permanent tax benefit on the value of donated minerals and aggregates which was recorded as a \$303 receivable and \$1,823 deferred tax. The Company recorded a \$334 non-cash transaction for accrued liabilities of deferred gains and post sale obligations related to investment land sold in 2013.

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY - Years ended September 30 (In thousands, except share amounts)

(III thousands, except share	aillourics)								
							-	ed er ore-	Total
			_		pital in			sive	Share
-	Common S					Retained		ome, net	
	Shares	_		_	r Value	Earnings	of t		Equity
Balance at October 1, 2010	9,278,088	\$	928	\$	37,511	\$113,597	\$	20	\$152,056
Exercise of stock options Excess tax benefits from exercises of stock options	54,035		6		532				538
and vesting of restricted stock					322 370				322 370
Stock option compensation	10 500		1		370				370
Shares granted to Directors Shares purchased and canceled Net income	10,500 (54,600)		1 (6)		(223)	(1,166) 12,211			(1,395) 12,211
Minimum pension liability, net of \$5 tax Net actuarial gain retiree								8	8
health net of \$2 tax		_		_				3	3
Balance at September 30, 2011	9,288,023	\$	929	\$	38,845	\$124,642	\$	31	\$164,447
Exercise of stock options Excess tax benefits from exercises of stock options	153,505		15		1,307				1,322
and vesting of restricted stock Stock option compensation Shares granted to Directors Shares purchased and canceled Net income	15,000 (15,908)		1 (1)		811 324 319 (67)	(247) 7,808			811 324 320 (315) 7,808
Minimum pension liability, net of \$5 tax								7	7
Net actuarial loss retiree									,
health net of \$4 tax		_		_				(6)	(6)
Balance at September 30, 2012	9,440,620	\$	944	\$	41,539	\$132,203	\$	32	\$174,718
Exercise of stock options Excess tax benefits from exercises of stock options	112,800		11		1,175				1,186
and vesting of restricted stock Stock option compensation Shares granted to Directors Shares purchased and canceled Net income	19,500 (8,700)		2 (1)		701 376 505 (38)	(194) 15,385			701 376 507 (233) 15,385
Minimum pension liability, net of \$4 tax		_						6	6
Balance at September 30, 2013	9,564,220	\$	956	\$	44,258	\$147,394	\$	38	\$192,646

#### NOTES TO CONSOLDIATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Accounting Policies.

ORGANIZATION - Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. (Company) is engaged in the transportation and real estate businesses. The Company's transportation business is conducted through its subsidiary, Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc. (Tank Lines). Tank Lines is a Southeastern transportation company concentrating in the hauling by motor carrier of primarily petroleum related bulk liquids and dry bulk commodities. The Company's real estate group, through subsidiaries, acquires, constructs, leases, operates and manages land and buildings to generate both current cash flows and long-term capital appreciation. The real estate group also owns real estate that is leased under mining royalty agreements or held for investment.

RECLASSIFICATIONS - In connection with the presentation adopted in March, 2010 of our real estate operations as two reportable segments, two properties in Washington, D.C. and two properties in Duval County, Florida were reclassified out of the Royalties and rent division and the division was renamed the Mining royalty land segment. Historical results have been reclassified to conform to the new segment presentation.

CONSOLIDATION - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. Investment in the 50% owned Brooksville joint venture is accounted for under the equity method. Investment in Riverfront Investment Partner I, LLC is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments with maturities of three months or less at time of purchase to be cash equivalents.

INVENTORY - Inventory of parts and supplies is valued at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market.

TIRES ON EQUIPMENT - The value of tires on tractors and trailers is accounted for as a prepaid expense and amortized over the life of the tires as a function of miles driven.

REVENUE AND EXPENSE RECOGNITION - Transportation revenue, including fuel surcharges, is recognized when the services have been rendered to customers or delivery has occurred, the pricing is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Transportation expenses are recognized as incurred.

Real estate rental revenue and mining royalties are generally recognized when earned under the leases. Rental income from leases with scheduled increases or other incentives during their term is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Reimbursements of expenses, when provided in the lease, are recognized in the period that the expenses are incurred.

Sales of real estate are recognized when the collection of the sales price is reasonably assured and when the Company has fulfilled substantially all of its obligations, which are typically as of the closing date.

Accounts receivable are recorded net of discounts and provisions for estimated allowances. We estimate allowances on an ongoing basis by considering historical and current trends. We record estimated bad debts expense as a selling, general and administrative expense. We estimate the net

collectibility of our accounts receivable and establish an allowance for doubtful accounts based upon this assessment. Specifically, we analyze the aging of accounts receivable balances, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms.

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT - Property and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation and depletion. Provision for depreciation of property, plant and equipment is computed using the straight-line method based on the following estimated useful lives:

	Years
Buildings and improvements	7-39
Revenue equipment	7-10
Other equipment	3-10

Depletion of sand and stone deposits is computed on the basis of units of production in relation to estimated reserves. Reserve estimates are periodically adjusted based upon surveys.

The Company recorded depreciation and depletion expenses for 2013, 2012 and 2011 of \$12,856,000, \$12,250,000, and \$11,548,000, respectively.

The Company periodically reviews property and equipment for potential impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable. The review of real estate group assets consists of comparing cap rates on recent cash flows and market value estimates to the carrying values of each asset group. If this review indicates the carrying value might exceed fair value then an estimate of future cash flows for the remaining useful life of each property is prepared considering anticipated vacancy, lease rates, and any future capital expenditures. review of the transportation segment assets consists of a review of future anticipated results considering business prospects and asset utilization. If the sum of these future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) is less than the carrying amount of the assets, the Company would record an impairment loss based on the fair value of the assets with the fair value of the assets generally based upon an estimate of the discounted future cash flows expected with regards to the assets and their eventual disposition. Company performs an annual impairment test on goodwill. Changes in estimates or assumptions could have an impact on the Company's financials.

All direct and indirect costs, including interest and real estate taxes, associated with the development, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate investments are capitalized as a cost of the property. Included in indirect costs is an allocation of internal costs associated with development of real estate investments. The cost of routine repairs and maintenance to property and equipment is expensed as incurred.

PURCHASE ACCOUNTING - Acquisitions of rental property, including any associated intangible assets, are measured at fair value at the date of acquisition. Any liabilities assumed or incurred are recorded at their fair value at the time of acquisition. The fair value of the acquired property is allocated between land and building (on an as-if vacant basis) based on management's estimate of the fair value of those components for each type of property and to tenant improvements based on the depreciated replacement cost of the tenant improvements, which approximates their fair value. The fair value of the inplace leases is recorded as follows:

- the fair value of leases in-place on the date of acquisition is based on absorption costs for the estimated lease-up period in which vacancy and foregone revenue are avoided due to the presence of the acquired leases;
- the fair value of above and below-market in-place leases based on the present value (using a discount rate that relects the risks associated with the acquired leases) of the difference between contractual rent amounts to be paid under the assumed lease and the estimated market lease rates for the corresponding spaces over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related leases; and
- the fair value of intangible tenant or customer relationships.

The Company's determination of these fair values requires it to estimate market rents for each of the leases and make certain other assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the rental revenue, and depreciation and amortization expense recognized for these leases and associated intangible assets and liabilities.

INVESTMENTS - The Company uses the equity method to account for its investment in Brooksville, in which it has a voting interest of 50% and has significant influence but does not have control. The Company uses the equity method to account for its investment in Riverfront Investment Partners I, LLC, in which the equity interest will be determined based on leverage of the entity, additional cash contributions by the Company, and negotiations with potential third partners. Under the equity method, the investment is originally recorded at cost and adjusted to recognize the Company's share of net earnings or losses of the investee, limited to the extent of the Company's investment in and advances to the investee and financial guarantees on behalf of the investee that create additional basis. The Company regularly monitors and evaluates the realizable value of its investments. When assessing an investment for an other-than-temporary decline in value, the Company considers such factors as, the performance of the investee in relation to its own operating targets and its business plan, the investee's revenue and cost trends, as well as liquidity and cash position, and the outlook for the overall industry in which the investee operates. From time to time, the Company may consider third party evaluations or valuation reports. If events and circumstances indicate that a decline in the value of these assets has occurred and is other-than-temporary, the Company records a charge to investment income (expense).

INSURANCE - The Company has a \$250,000 to \$500,000 self-insured retention per occurrence in connection with certain of its workers' compensation, automobile liability, and general liability insurance programs ("risk insurance"). The Company is also self-insured for its employee health insurance benefits and carries stop loss coverage for losses over \$250,000 per covered participant per year plus a \$72,000 aggregate. The Company has established an accrued liability for the estimated cost in connection with its portion of its risk and health insurance losses incurred and reported. Claims paid by the Company are charged against the liability. Additionally, the Company maintains an accrued liability for incurred but not reported claims based on historical analysis of such claims. The method of calculating the accrual liability is subject to inherent uncertainty. If actual results are less favorable than the estimates used to calculate the liabilities, the Company would have to record expenses in excess of what has been accrued. Accrued insurance liabilities decreased due to payments to our new insurer under a captive agreement along with payment in settlement of three unusually large prior year liability and health claims. Payments under the captive agreement are for the fiscal 2013 year-to-date loss fund as estimated in advance using actuarial methodology. The captive agreement provides that we will share in the underwriting results, good or bad, within a \$250,000 per occurrence layer of loss through retrospective premium adjustments.

INCOME TAXES - Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on differences between financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using presently enacted tax rates. Deferred income taxes result from temporary differences between pre-tax income reported in the financial statements and taxable income. The Company recognizes liabilities for uncertain tax positions based on a two-step process. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit. The second step is to estimate and measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. It is inherently difficult and subjective to estimate such amounts, as the amounts rely upon the determination of the probability of various possible outcomes. The Company reevaluates these uncertain tax positions on a quarterly This evaluation is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in facts or circumstances, changes in tax law and expiration of statutes of limitations, effectively settled issues under audit, and audit Such a change in recognition or measurement would result in the recognition of a tax benefit or an additional charge to the tax provision. is the Company's policy to recognize as additional income tax expense the items of interest and penalties directly related to income taxes.

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION - The Company accounts for compensation related to share based plans by recognizing the grant date fair value of stock options and other equity-based compensation issued to employees in its income statement over the requisite employee service period using the straight-line attribution model. In addition, compensation expense must be recognized for the change in fair value of any awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after the grant date. The fair value of each grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The assumptions used in the model and current year impact is discussed in Footnote 7.

PENSION PLAN - The Company accounts for its pension plan following the requirements of FASB ASC Topic 715, "Compensation - Retirement Benefits", which requires an employer to: (a) recognize in its statement of financial position the funded status of a benefit plan; (b) measure defined benefit plan assets and obligations as of the end of the employer's fiscal year (with limited exceptions); and (c) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit costs pursuant to prior existing guidance.

EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE - Basic earnings per common share are based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted earnings per common share are based on the weighted average number of common shares and potential dilution of securities that could share in earnings. The differences between basic and diluted shares used for the calculation are the effect of employee and director stock options and restricted stock.

USE OF ESTIMATES - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United State requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain accounting policies and estimates are of more significance in the financial statement preparation process than others. The most critical accounting policies and estimates include the economic useful lives and salvage values of our vehicles and equipment, provisions for uncollectible accounts receivable and collectibility of unrealized rents and notes receivable, estimates of exposures related to our insurance claims plans, and estimates for taxes. To the extent that actual, final outcomes are different than these estimates, or that additional facts and circumstances result in a revision to these estimates, earnings during that accounting period will be affected.

ENVIRONMENTAL - Environmental expenditures that benefit future periods are capitalized. Expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations, and which do not contribute to current or future revenue generation, are expensed. Liabilities are recorded for the estimated amount of expected environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts. Estimation of such liabilities includes an assessment of engineering estimates, continually evolving governmental laws and standards, and potential involvement of other potentially responsible parties.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - Comprehensive income consists of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to expenses, gains, and losses that are not included in net income, but rather are recorded directly in shareholder's equity.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS - In February 2013, accounting guidance was issued to update the presentation of reclassifications from comprehensive income to net income in consolidated financial statements. Under this new guidance, an entity is required to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income either by the respective line items of net income or by cross-reference to other required disclosures. The new guidance does not change the requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in financial statements. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2012 and is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

## 2. Transactions with Vulcan Materials Company.

The Company previously may have been considered a related party to Vulcan Materials Company (Vulcan). One director of the Company was employed by Vulcan until September 17, 2010 and is related to two other Company directors.

The Company, through its transportation subsidiaries, hauls commodities by tank trucks for Vulcan. Charges for these services are based on prevailing market prices. The real estate subsidiaries lease certain construction aggregates mining and other properties to Vulcan.

A summary of revenues derived from Vulcan follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Transportation	\$ 6,867	5,249	3,036
Real estate	5,153	4,755	4,580
	\$ 12,020	10,004	7,616

A subsidiary of the Company (FRP) has a Joint Venture Agreement with Vulcan Materials Company (formerly Florida Rock Industries, Inc.) to develop approximately 4,300 acres of land near Brooksville, Florida. Under the terms

of the joint venture, FRP contributed its fee interest in approximately 3,443 acres formerly leased to Vulcan under a long-term mining lease which had a net book value of \$2,548,000. Vulcan is entitled to mine the property until 2018 and pay royalties for the benefit of FRP for as long as mining does not interfere with the development of the property. Real estate revenues included \$206,000 of such royalties in fiscal 2013 and \$242,000 in fiscal 2012. Allocated depletion expense of \$6,000 was included in real estate cost of operations for fiscal 2013. FRP also contributed \$3,018,000 for one-half of the acquisition costs of a 288-acre contiguous parcel. Vulcan contributed 553 acres that it owned as well as its leasehold interest in the 3,443 acres that it leased from FRP. The joint venture is jointly controlled by Vulcan and FRP, and they each had a mandatory obligation to fund additional capital contributions of up to \$2,330,000. Capital contributions of \$2,272,000 have been made by each party as of September 30, 2013. Distributions will be made on a 50-50 basis except for royalties and depletion specifically allocated to FRP. Other income for fiscal 2013 includes a loss of \$41,000 representing the Company's equity in the loss of the joint venture. In April 2011, the Florida Department of Community Affairs issued its Final Order approving the development of the Project, and zoning for the Project was obtained in August 2012.

### 3. Debt.

Debt at September 30 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Revolving credit (uncollateralized) 5.6% to 8.6% mortgage notes	\$ -	_
due in installments through 2027	49,904	62,370
	49,904	62,370
Less portion due within one year	4,311	5,239
	\$ 45,593	57,131

The aggregate amount of principal payments, excluding the revolving credit, due subsequent to September 30, 2013 is: 2014 - \$4,311,000; 2015 - \$4,533,000; 2016 - \$4,616,000; 2017 - \$4,887,000; 2018 - \$4,674,000; 2019 and subsequent years - \$26,883,000.

On December 21, 2012, the Company entered into a modified credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement modifies the Company's prior Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement with Wachovia Bank, National Association ("Wachovia"), Bank of America, N.A., SunTrust Bank, and Compass Bank dated as of November 10, 2004. The Credit Agreement is for a 5 year term with a maximum facility amount of \$55 million. The Credit Agreement provides a revolving credit facility (the "Revolver") with a maximum facility amount of \$40 million, with a \$20 million sublimit for standby letters of credit, and a term loan facility of \$15 million. The Credit Agreement contains certain conditions, affirmative financial covenants and negative covenants including limitations on paying cash dividends. Letters of credit in the amount of \$5,737,000 were issued under the Revolver. As of September 30, 2013, \$49,263,000 was available for borrowing under the Revolver and \$66,885,000 of consolidated retained earnings would be available for payment of dividends. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of September 30, 2013.

The non-recourse fully amortizing mortgage notes payable are collateralized by

real estate having a carrying value of approximately \$58,724,000 at September 30, 2013.

During fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011 the Company capitalized interest costs of \$1,863,000, \$1,646,000, and \$1,232,000, respectively.

The Company had \$5,737,000 of irrevocable letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2013. Most of the letters of credit are irrevocable for a period of one year and are automatically extended for additional one-year periods unless notified by the issuing bank not less than thirty days before the expiration date. These were issued for insurance retentions and to guarantee certain obligations to state agencies related to real estate development.

On June 3, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$7,281,000 remaining principal balance on a 6.12% mortgage under an early prepayment provision the note allowed after 7.5 years. The prepayment penalty of \$382,000 is included in interest expense. The remaining deferred loan costs of \$175,000 were also included in interest expense.

On July 31, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$279,000 remaining principal balance on a 7.97% mortgage. The cost of the prepayment included a penalty of \$7,000.

#### 4. Leases.

At September 30, 2013, the total carrying value of property owned by the Company which is leased or held for lease to others is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Commercial property 232,	
commercial propercy	317
267,	404
less accumulated depreciation and depletion 61,	495
\$ 205,	909

The minimum future straight-lined rentals due the Company on noncancelable leases as of September 30, 2013 are as follows: 2014 - \$20,704,000; 2015 - \$18,502,000; 2016 - \$14,781,000; 2017 - \$12,669,000; 2018 - \$10,705,000; 2019 and subsequent years \$38,808,000.

## 5. Stock Split.

On December 1, 2010, the board of directors declared a 3-for-1 stock split of the Company's common stock in the form of a stock dividend. The record date for the split was January 3, 2011 and the new shares were issued on January 17, 2011. The total authorized shares remained 25 million and par value of common stock remained unchanged at \$.10 per share. All share and per share information presented has been adjusted to reflect this stock split.

## 6. Earnings Per Share.

The following details the computations of the basic and diluted earnings per common share. (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts.)

Years	Ended Septem	mber 30
2013	2012	2011

#### Common shares:

Weighted average common shares outstanding during the period - shares used for basic earnings per common share	9,523	9,360	9,284
Common shares issuable under share based payment plans which are potentially dilutive	82	114	167
Common shares used for diluted earnings per common share	9,605	9,474	9,451
Net income	\$ 15,385	7,808	12,211
Earnings per common share Basic Diluted	\$ 1.62 \$ 1.60	.83	1.32

For 2013, 2012 and 2011, 87,550, 164,560, and 140,370 shares, respectively, attributable to outstanding stock options were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

## 7. Stock-Based Compensation Plans.

The Company has two Stock Option Plans (the 2000 Stock Option Plan and the 2006 Stock Option Plan) under which options for shares of common stock were granted to directors, officers and key employees. The 2006 plan permits the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, or stock awards. The options awarded under the plans have similar characteristics. All stock options are non-qualified and expire ten years from the date of grant. Stock based compensation awarded to directors, officers and employees are exercisable immediately or become exercisable in cumulative installments of 20% or 25% at the end of each year following the date of grant. When stock options are exercised the Company issues new shares after receipt of exercise proceeds and taxes due, if any, from the grantee. The number of common shares available for future issuance was 537,880 at September 30, 2013.

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes valuation model for estimating fair value of stock compensation for options awarded to officers and employees. Each grant is evaluated based upon assumptions at the time of grant. The assumptions were no dividend yield, expected volatility between 37% and 46%, risk-free interest rate of .3% to 4.2% and expected life of 3.0 to 7.0 years.

The dividend yield of zero is based on the fact that the Company does not pay cash dividends and has no present intention to pay cash dividends. Expected volatility is estimated based on the Company's historical experience over a period equivalent to the expected life in years. The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury constant maturity interest rate at the date of grant with a term consistent with the expected life of the options granted. The expected life calculation is based on the observed and expected time to exercise options by the employees.

The Company recorded the following stock compensation expense in its consolidated statement of income (in thousands):

	Υe	ears Er	nded Septe	ember 30
		2013	2012	2011
Stock option grants	\$	376	381	370
Annual non-employee Director stock award		507	320	334
	\$	883	701	704

A summary of changes in outstanding options is presented below:

<u>Options</u>	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price		Average Exercise		Average Exercise		Average Exerci		Average Remaining	Ave Gra	ighted erage ant Date ir Value(000's)
Outstanding at October 1, 2010 Granted Exercised Forfeited Outstanding at	633,900 29,160 (54,035) (3,000)	\$	14.00 25.60 9.95 5.84	4.1	\$ \$ \$	4,206 293 274 9						
September 30, 2011 Granted Exercised Forfeited	606,025 31,690 (153,505) (3,000)	\$	14.96 22.25 8.62 5.78	3.5	\$ \$ \$ \$	4,216 281 705 10						
Outstanding at September 30, 2012 Granted Exercised Forfeited Outstanding at	481,210 46,180 (112,800)	\$	17.52 26.20 10.52	3.8	\$ \$ \$ \$	3,782 489 603 —						
September 30, 2013	414,590	\$	20.40	4.2	\$	3,668						
Exercisable at September 30, 2013 Vested during twelve months ended	330,878	\$	19.05	3.2	\$	2,759						
September 30, 2013	32,912				\$	326						

The following table summarizes information concerning stock options outstanding at September 30, 2013:

Range of Exercise Prices per Share	Shares under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life
Non-exercisable:			
\$18.01 - \$27.00	75,828	25.03	8.4
\$27.01 - \$32.16	7,884	32.16	6.2
	83,712	\$25.70	${8.1}$ years
Exercisable:			
\$8.01 - \$12.00	75,000	10.82	0.6
\$12.01 - \$18.00	100,350	14.78	1.3
\$18.01 - \$27.00	106,202	23.78	5.7
\$27.01 - \$32.16	49,326	30.08	5.3
	330,878	\$19.05	3.2 years
Total	414,590	\$20.40	4.2 years

The aggregate intrinsic value of exercisable in-the-money options was \$4,889,000 and the aggregate intrinsic value of outstanding in-the-money options was \$5,570,000 based on the market closing price of \$33.83 on September 30, 2013 less exercise prices. Gains of \$1,878,000 were realized by option holders during the twelve months ended September 30, 2013. The realized tax benefit from options exercised for the twelve months ended September 30, 2013 was \$727,000. Total compensation cost of options granted but not yet vested as of September 30, 2013 was \$711,000, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.2 years.

### 8. Income Taxes.

The provision for income taxes for continuing operations for fiscal years ended September 30 consists of the following (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
Federal	\$4,264	2,834	3,249
State	1,136	437	566
	5,400	3,271	3,815
Deferred	4,437	1,538	483
Total	\$ <u>9,837</u>	4,809	4,298

A reconciliation between the amount of tax shown above and the amount computed at the statutory Federal income tax rate follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Amount computed at statutory			
Federal rate	\$8,636	4,256	3,864
State income taxes (net of Federal			
income tax benefit)	1,129	562	473
Other, net	72	(9)	(39)
Provision for income taxes	\$9,837	4,809	4,298

In this reconciliation, the category "Other, net" consists of changes in unrecognized tax benefits, permanent tax differences related to non-deductible expenses, special tax rates and tax credits, interest and penalties, and adjustments to prior year estimates.

The types of temporary differences and their related tax effects that give rise to deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at September 30, are presented below (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Deferred tax liabilities:		
		18,53
Property and equipment	\$ 22,650	5
Depletion	479	453
Unrealized rents	1,803	1,608
Prepaid expenses	1,306	1,913
		22,50
Gross deferred tax liabilities	26,238	9
Deferred tax assets:		

786	1,744
2,758	2,508
3,544	4,252
	18,25
\$ 22,694	7
	2,758 3,544

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Balance at October 1	\$ —	51
Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations	_	(51)
Balance at September 30	\$ -	

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. and various states which are subject to audit for up to five years after filing.

# 9. Employee Benefits.

The Company and certain subsidiaries have a savings/profit sharing plan for the benefit of qualified employees. The savings feature of the plan incorporates the provisions of Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code under which an eligible employee may elect to save a portion (within limits) of their compensation on a tax deferred basis. The Company contributes to a participant's account an amount equal to 50% (with certain limits) of the participant's contribution. Additionally, the Company may make an annual discretionary contribution to the plan as determined by the Board of Directors, with certain limitations. The plan provides for deferred vesting with benefits payable upon retirement or earlier termination of employment. The Company's cost was \$696,000 in 2013, \$669,000 in 2012 and \$632,000 in 2011.

The Company has a Management Security Plan (MSP) for certain officers and key employees. The accruals for future benefits are based upon the remaining years to retirement of the participating employees and other actuarial assumptions. Life insurance on the lives of one of the participants has been purchased to partially fund this benefit and the Company is the owner and beneficiary of that policy. The expense for fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011 was \$176,000, \$161,000 and \$150,000, respectively. The accrued benefit under this plan as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$1,351,000 and \$1,232,000 respectively.

The Company provides certain health benefits for retired employees. Employees may become eligible for those benefits if they were employed by the Company prior to December 10, 1992, meet the service requirements and reach retirement age while working for the Company. The plan is contributory and unfunded. The Company accrues the estimated cost of retiree health benefits over the years that the employees render service. The accrued postretirement benefit obligation for this plan as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 was \$357,000 and \$361,000, respectively. The net periodic postretirement benefit cost was \$15,000, \$5,000 and \$18,000 for fiscal 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively. The discount rate used in determining the Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost was 4.0% for 2013, 4.0% for 2012 and 5.0% for 2011. The discount rate used in determining the Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation (APBO) was 4.0% for 2013, 4.0% for 2012 and 5.0% for 2011. No medical trend is applicable because the Company's share of the cost is frozen.

### 10. Business Segments.

The Company operates in three reportable business segments. The Company's operations are substantially in the Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic states.

The transportation segment hauls petroleum and other liquids and dry bulk commodities by tank trailers. The Company's real estate operations consist of two reportable segments. The Mining royalty land segment owns real estate including construction aggregate royalty sites and parcels held for investment. The Developed property rentals segment acquires, constructs, and leases office/warehouse buildings primarily in the Baltimore/Northern Virginia/Washington area and holds real estate for future development or related to its developments.

The Company's transportation and real estate groups operate independently and have minimal shared overhead except for corporate expenses. Corporate expenses are allocated in fixed quarterly amounts based upon budgeted and estimated proportionate cost by segment. Unallocated corporate expenses primarily include stock compensation and corporate aircraft expenses. Reclassifications to prior period amounts have been made to be comparable to the current presentation.

Operating results and certain other financial data for the Company's business segments are as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Revenues: Transportation Mining royalty land Developed property rentals	\$ 112,120	103,476	97,801
	5,302	4,483	4,261
	22,352	19,555	18,044
	\$ 139,774	127,514	120,106
Operating profit: Transportation Mining royalty land Developed property rentals Corporate expenses: Allocated to transportation	\$ 11,119	9,107	9,602
	4,739	3,905	3,507
	9,427	5,497	5,874
	(1,765)	(1,631)	(1,574)
Allocated to mining land Allocated to developed property Unallocated	(731) (1,094) (1,261) (4,851) \$ 20,434	(674) (1,012) (1,091) (4,408) 14,101	(650) (975) (1,415) (4,614) 14,369
<pre>Interest expense:   Mining royalty land   Developed property rentals</pre>	\$ 59	40	37
	2,461	2,598	3,309
	\$ 2,520	2,638	3,346
Capital expenditures: Transportation Mining royalty land Developed property rentals: Capitalized interest Internal labor Real estate taxes (a) Other costs (b)	\$ 15,612	10,459	6,743
	-	11,039	-
	1,863	1,646	1,232
	418	609	603
	904	(1,209)	1,212
	19,751	11,804	8,082
	\$ 38,548	34,348	17,872

(a) Includes a \$2,311 receivable on previously capitalized real estate

taxes on the Anacostia property for fiscal 2012. (b)Net of 1031 exchange of \$4,941 for fiscal 2011.

\$ 7,401	6,750	6,269
105	112	111
6,141	5,729	5,222
418	403	608
\$ 14,065	12,994	12,210
\$ 49,410	42,642	39,001
_	_	114
40,008	39,695	28,295
195,476	184,358	175,618
502	6,713	21,026
1,697	2,297	2,336
287,093	275,705	266,390
\$	\$ 49,410 - 40,008 195,476	\$ 49,410 42,642

# 11. Fair Value Measurements.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. Level 1 means the use of quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 means the use of values that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. Level 3 means the use of inputs are those that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

As of September 30, 2013 the Company had no assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis. During fiscal 2011 the corporate aircraft was placed back in service and depreciation was recommenced. Prior to that it was recorded at fair value based on level 2 inputs for similar assets in the current market on a non-recurring basis as it was deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The first quarter of fiscal 2011 included \$300,000 for the impairment to estimated fair value of the corporate aircraft.

At September 30, 2013 and 2012, the carrying amount reported in the consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, short-term notes payable and revolving credit approximate their fair value based upon the short-term nature of these items.

The fair values of the Company's other mortgage notes payable were estimated based on current rates available to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturities. At September 30, 2013, the carrying amount and fair value of such other long-term debt was \$49,904,000 and \$53,252,000, respectively. At September 30, 2012, the carrying amount and fair value of other long-term debt was \$62,370,000 and \$67,379,000, respectively.

# 12. Contingent Liabilities.

Certain of the Company's subsidiaries are involved in litigation on a number of matters and are subject to certain claims which arise in the normal course of business. The Company has retained certain self-insurance risks with respect to losses for third party liability and property damage. There is a reasonable possibility that the Company's estimate of vehicle and workers' compensation liability for the transportation segment or discontinued operations may be understated or overstated but the possible range can not be estimated. The liability at any point in time depends upon the relative ages and amounts of the individual open claims. In the opinion of management none of these matters are expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Preliminary testing completed in the summer of 2012 on the portion of the site that will contain Phase I of the four phase master development known as RiverFront on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. indicated the presence of contaminated material that will have to be specially handled in the event of excavation in conjunction with construction. There are certain contaminants that we believe are a result of normal operations of our previous tenant over the long-term due to documented releases from an underground storage tank which was located within Phase I along with other activities by the tenant on the property. We are in the process of discussing financial responsibility for these costs with our prior tenant. To date discussions remain unresolved but it is our position that the tenant is responsible by terms of the lease and environmental laws. Notwithstanding this, as a result of the agreements in place with MRP, we have a financial responsibility to MRP up to a proposed cap of \$1.871 million to appropriately handle the removal of the known hazardous substances on Phase I of the property. We recorded an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 of \$1,771,000 for this environmental remediation liability which is the lower end of the range of estimates. The actual expense may be materially higher or lower depending upon the determined responsibility of the prior tenant, our ability to collect from such prior tenant and actual costs incurred. Further testing during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013 revealed the existence of contamination on the other three phases and we are requesting the prior tenant take financial responsibility for removal of this contamination as well. The Company has no obligation to remediate this contamination on Phases II, III and IV until such time as it commences construction there.

### 13. Commitments.

The Company, at September 30, 2013, had entered into various contracts to develop real estate with remaining commitments totaling \$5,024,000, and to purchase transportation equipment for approximately \$4,931,000. The Company has committed to make an additional capital contribution of up to \$58,000 dollars to Brooksville Quarry, LLC in connection with a joint venture with Vulcan.

### 14. Concentrations.

The transportation segment primarily serves customers in the industries in the Southeastern U.S. Significant economic disruption or downturn in this geographic region or these industries could have an adverse effect on our financial statements.

During fiscal 2013, the transportation segment's ten largest customers accounted for approximately 54.2% of the transportation segment's revenue. One of these customers accounted for 20.0% of the transportation segment's revenue.

The loss of any one of these customers would have an adverse effect on the Company's revenues and income. Accounts receivable from the transportation segment's ten largest customers was \$3,565,000 and \$2,988,000 at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The mining royalty land segment has one lessee that accounted for 73.5% of the segment's revenues and \$163,000 of accounts receivable at September 30, 2013. The loss of this customer would have an adverse effect on the segment.

The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality institutions. At times such amounts may exceed FDIC limits.

# 15. Discontinued Operations.

In August 2009 the Company sold its flatbed trucking company, SunBelt Transport, Inc. ("SunBelt"). SunBelt has been accounted for as discontinued operations in accordance with ASC Topic 205-20 Presentation of Financial Statements - Discontinued Operations. All periods presented have been restated accordingly.

In December 2010, a subsidiary of the Company, Florida Rock Properties, Inc., closed a bargain sale of approximately 1,777 acres of land in Caroline County, Virginia, to the Commonwealth of Virginia, Board of Game and Inland Fisheries. The purchase price for the property was \$5,200,000, subject to certain deductions. The Company also donated \$5,599,000 primarily for the value of minerals and aggregates and recognized a \$2,126,000 permanent tax benefit. The \$2,126,000 permanent tax benefit was recorded to income taxes receivable for \$303,000 and offset to long-term deferred tax liabilities of \$1,823,000. Actual realization of the \$1,823,000 in deferred taxes will depend on taxable income, income tax rates, and income tax regulations over the 5 year carry forward period. The Company's book value of the property was \$276,000.

A summary of discontinued operations is as follows (in thousands):

	 2013	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ _	50	60
Operating expenses	_	(107)	(302)
Gain on sale before taxes	_	_	4,665
Income before income taxes	\$ _	157	5,027
Permanent tax benefit	_	_	2,126
Provision for taxes	 	(60)	(1,931)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ _	97	5,222

The amounts included in the above totals for the bargain sale is as follows (in thousands):

0110 012 0111 012 7	 2013	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ _	_	_
Operating expenses	_	_	_
Gain on sale before taxes	_	_	4,665
Income before income taxes	\$ _		4,665
Permanent tax benefit	_	_	2,126
Provision for taxes	_	_	(1,823)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 	_	4,968

### 16. Real Estate Held for Sale.

During the summer of 2012 Commonwealth Avenue in Jacksonville, Florida, a 50 acre site near the western beltway of Interstate-295 capable of supporting approximately 500,000 square feet of warehouse/office build-out, was placed under contract for sale as a reverse 1031 exchange for the purchase of mining land near Lake Louisa, Florida. The sale closed in November, 2012 for a sale price of \$2 million which will result in a gain of \$1.1 million before income taxes in fiscal 2013. Book value of the property was \$732,000 at September 30, 2012 and was classified as real estate held for sale as of September 30, 2012.

In September 2012 the Company received a non-binding letter of intent to sell phase 1 of the Windlass Run Residential property located in southeastern Baltimore County, Maryland. The sale closed with another buyer in August 2013 for \$8 million. Book value of the property was \$2,753,000 at September 30, 2012 and was classified as real estate held for sale as of September 30, 2012.

# 17. Unusual or Infrequent Items Impacting Quarterly Results.

Discontinued operations, net for the first quarter of fiscal 2011 included a book gain on the exchange of property of \$4,968,000 after tax (see note 15).

Operating profit in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011 decreased primarily due to an increase in the Transportation segment's insurance and losses of \$1,204,000 due to two severe non-preventable incidents in the last four months of fiscal 2011 combined with higher health insurance claims incurred.

Income from continuing operations for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 included a gain on termination of sale contract in the amount of \$1,039,000 before income taxes for the receipt of non-refundable deposits related to the termination of an agreement to sell the Company's Windlass Run Residential property.

Operating profit for the developed property rentals segment includes an expense in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 of \$1,771,000 for an estimated environmental remediation liability which is the lower end of the range of estimates. The actual expense may be materially higher or lower depending upon the determined responsibility of the prior tenant, our ability to collect from such prior tenant and actual costs incurred.

In November 2012 the Company sold its Commonwealth property in Jacksonville, Florida resulting in gain of \$1,116,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$723,000.

On June 3, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$7,281,000 remaining principal balance on a 6.12% mortgage under an early prepayment provision the note allowed after 7.5 years. The prepayment penalty of \$382,000 is included in interest expense. The remaining deferred loan costs of \$175,000 were also included in interest expense. On July 31, 2013 the Company prepaid the \$279,000 remaining principal balance on a 7.97% mortgage. The cost of the prepayment included a penalty of \$7,000.

In July 2013 the Company sold 15.18 acres of land at Patriot Business Park for a sales price of \$4,775,000 resulting in a gain of \$341,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$3,603,000. At September 30, 2013 cash held in escrow included \$835,000 and a deferred gain of \$41,000 was recorded, both related to future obligations of the Company pertaining to this

sale which will be satisfied during fiscal 2014.

In August 2013 the Company sold 5.38 acres of land at Hollander 95 Business Park and recorded a gain before income taxes of \$514,000. The book value of the property was \$595,000. At September 30, 2013 a deferred gain of \$109,000 was recorded related to future obligations of the Company pertaining to this sale. In August 2013 the Company sold phase 1 of the Windlass Run Residential property and recorded a gain of \$4,928,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$2,971,000. The Company also sold 284 acres of Gulf Hammock mining property in August 2013 and recorded a gain of \$433,000 before income taxes. The book value of the property was \$296,000. Cash held in escrow at September 30, 2013 includes the gross proceeds of \$734,000 from the Gulf Hammock sale held by a 1031 intermediary.

Accrued insurance liabilities decreased \$2,490,000 during fiscal 2013 due to payments to our new insurer under a captive agreement along with payment in settlement of three unusually large prior year liability and health claims. Payments under the captive agreement are for the fiscal 2013 year-to-date loss fund as estimated in advance using actuarial methodology. The captive agreement provides that we will share in the underwriting results, good or bad, within a \$250,000 per occurrence layer of loss through retrospective premium adjustments.

The Company reached an agreement with the District of Columbia in November 2011 concerning the assessed value of the Company's property resulting in a credit due to the Company. The taxes previously paid relating to the property were capitalized to the cost of the land as we are actively pursuing development of the property. The entry to record this agreement was to establish a receivable for the taxes and to credit the cost of the land in the amount of \$2,311,000. It is anticipated that the remaining \$1,576,000 receivable as of September 30, 2013 including interest will be collected in fiscal 2014.

### 18. Subsequent Events.

The Company's transportation segment acquired certain assets of Pipeline Transportation, Inc. on November 7, 2013. Pipeline's operations have been conducted in the Florida and Alabama markets also served by Florida Rock and Tank Lines, Inc. For the twelve month period ending June 30, 2013, Pipeline had gross revenues of just over \$16,500,000.

On November 4, 2013 the Company signed an agreement to sell 4.4 acres of land at Patriot Business Park for a purchase price of \$2,000,000 subject to receipt by Purchaser of certain governmental approvals, and the Company's obligations related to future site and offsite development. The book value of the property at September 30, 2013 was \$1,303,000. It is expected to settle sometime towards the end of calendar year 2014.

# 19. Riverfront I Joint Venture.

On March 30, 2012 the Company entered into a Contribution Agreement with MRP SE Waterfront Residential, LLC. ("MRP") to form a joint venture to develop the first phase only of the four phase master development known as RiverFront on the Anacostia in Washington, D.C. The purpose of the Joint Venture is to develop, own, lease and possibly sell an approximately 300,000 square foot residential apartment building (including approximately 18,000 square feet of retail) on a portion of the roughly 5.82 acre site. The joint venture, Riverfront Investment Partners I, LLC ("Riverfront I) was formed in June 2013

as contemplated. The Company's cost of the property to be contributed of \$5,839,000 was transferred from Property, plant and equipment to Investment in joint ventures and is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. MRP will contribute capital of at least \$4,000,000 to the joint venture including development costs paid prior to formation of the joint venture. MRP will raise any additional equity capital and obtain a nonrecourse loan for the balance of the estimated construction and lease up costs. At this point the Company anticipates commencement of construction of Phase I in mid 2014 with lease up scheduled between late 2015 and all of 2016. The Company's equity interest in the joint venture will be determined based on leverage of the entity, additional cash contributions by the Company, and negotiations with potential third partners.

### 20. Transit Business Park Acquisition.

On June 20, 2013, the Company purchased for approximately \$8 million, Transit Business Park in Baltimore, Maryland which consists of 5 buildings on 14.5 acres totaling 232,318 square feet. The Company has accounted for this acquisition in accordance with the provisions of ASC 805, Business Combinations (ASC 805). The Company has allocated the purchase price of the property, through the use of a third party valuation, based upon the fair value of the assets acquired, consisting of land, buildings and intangible assets, including inplace leases and below market leases. Based on the third party valuation performed, the purchase price has been allocated to the fair value of the inplace leases, above market leases and below market leases. These deferred leasing intangible assets are recorded within Other assets and Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2013. The value of the in-place lease intangibles will be amortized to amortization expense over the remaining lease terms. The fair value assigned pertaining to the above market in-place leases values are amortized as a reduction to rental revenue, and the below market in-place lease values are amortized as an increase to rental revenue over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the respective leases.

The Company will recognize the amortization related to these intangible assets according to the following schedule:

	Above	Below
In-place	Market	Market
Leases	Leases	Leases
\$ 806,000	48,000	156,000
\$ 121,000	3,000	24,000
347,000	12,000	68,000
237,000	11,000	51,000
74,000	11,000	13,000
27,000	11,000	_
_	_	_
	Leases \$ 806,000 \$ 121,000 347,000 237,000 74,000	Leases \$ 806,000

### Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of Patriot is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Patriot's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Patriot's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013 based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in the 1992 Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of September 30, 2013, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

### Report of Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

The Shareholders and Board of Directors Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholder's equity, and cash flows for years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. as of September 30, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended September 30, 2013, 2012 and 2011 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in the 1992 Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over

Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013, based on criteria established in the 1992 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

### Hancock Askew & Co., LLP

December 4, 2013 Savannah, Georgia

#### DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

#### Directors

Thompson S. Baker II (1)
President and Chief Executive
Officer of the Company

John D. Baker II (1) Executive Chairman

Edward L. Baker (1) Chairman Emeritus

John E. Anderson Former President and Chief Executive Officer of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.

Charles E. Commander III (2)(4)
Retired Partner
Foley & Lardner

Luke E. Fichthorn III Private Investment Banker, Twain Associates

Robert H. Paul III (2)(3)(4) Chairman of the Board of Southeast Atlantic Capital, LLC

H. W. Shad III (2)
Owner, Bozard Ford Company

Martin E. Stein, Jr. (3)(4) Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Regency Centers Corporation

James H. Winston (3)
President of LPMC of Jax, Inc. and
Citadel Life & Health Insurance Co.

<sup>(1)</sup> Member of the Executive Committee

<sup>(2)</sup> Member of the Audit Committee

<sup>(3)</sup> Member of the Compensation Committee

<sup>(4)</sup> Member of the Nominating Committee

#### Officers

John D. Baker II Executive Chairman

Thompson S. Baker II
President and Chief Executive Officer

John D. Milton, Jr. Executive Vice President, Treasurer, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer

David H. deVilliers, Jr.
Vice President
President, FRP Development Corp. and
Florida Rock Properties, Inc.

John D. Klopfenstein Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

Robert E. Sandlin Vice President President, Florida Rock & Tank Lines, Inc.

# Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.

200 West Forsyth Street, 7th Floor Jacksonville, Florida, 32202 Telephone: (904) 396-5733

# Annual Meeting

Shareholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Shareholders Meeting which will be held at 10 a.m. local time, on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, at the Bank of America Tower, 42nd floor, 50 North Laura Street, Jacksonville, Florida, 32202.

# Transfer Agent

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 59 Maiden Lane Plaza Level New York, NY 10038

Telephone: 1-800-937-5449

#### General Counsel

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP Jacksonville, Florida

## Independent Registered Certified Public Accounting Firm

Hancock Askew & Co., LLP Savannah, Georgia

#### Common Stock Listed

The Nasdaq Stock Market (Symbol: PATR)

### Form 10-K

Shareholders may receive without charge a copy of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.'s annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by writing to the Treasurer at 200 West Forsyth Street, 7th Floor, Jacksonville, Florida 32202. The most recent certifications by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 are filed as exhibits to our Form 10-K.

### Company Website

The Company's website may be accessed at <a href="www.patriottrans.com">www.patriottrans.com</a>. All of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission can be accessed through our website promptly after filing. This includes annual reports on Form 10-K, proxy statements, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports filed or furnished on Form 8-K and all related amendments.

CERTIFICATIONS Exhibit 31(a)

- I, Thompson S. Baker II, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosures controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal annual that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial report; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 4, 2013

/s/Thompson S. Baker II
President and Chief Executive
Officer

CERTIFICATIONS Exhibit 31(b)

- I, John D. Milton, Jr., certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosures controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal annual that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial report; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 4, 2013

/s/John D. Milton, Jr.
Executive Vice President,
Treasurer, Secretary and
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATIONS Exhibit 31(c)

- I, John D. Klopfenstein, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls to be designed under our supervision, ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosures controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal annual that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial report; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 4, 2013

/s/John D. Klopfenstein Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

### CERTIFICATION UNDER SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, each of the undersigned certifies that this periodic report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and that information contained in this periodic report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc.

PATRIOT TRANSPORTATION HOLDING, INC.

December 4, 2013

### THOMPSON S. BAKER II\_\_

Thompson S. Baker II
President and Chief Executive Officer

### JOHN D. MILTON, JR.\_\_

John D. Milton, Jr. Executive Vice President, Treasurer, Secretary and Chief Financial Officer

### JOHN D. KLOPFENSTEIN\_\_\_

John D. Klopfenstein Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. and will be retained by Patriot Transportation Holding, Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

The foregoing certification accompanies the issuer's Annual Report on Form 10-K and is not filed as provided in SEC Release Nos. 33-8212, 34-4751 and IC-25967, dated June 30, 2003.